# Review of Results from ATLAS

ERSITY OF DEPARTMENT OF

ALBERTA PHYSICS

### Roger Moore



## **ATLAS in Real Life**





## **General Comments**

- Detailed discussion of each analysis not possible in a summary talk like this
  - Concentrate on motivation for analysis and results rather than the details: references given for those interested
  - All public results available on the web, papers are all freely available (no expensive journal subscriptions required!)
    - https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic
- Only possible to highlight recent and/or important results here
  - ATLAS has been producing ~100 papers/year!

R. Moore

- Focus on top, Higgs, SUSY and recent exotics
- Generally analyses shown use the most recent data
  - ▶ 2012 data: 8TeV centre-of-mass with 20.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> luminosity
  - Some summary results also include 2011 data: 7TeV; 4.57fb<sup>-</sup>

2014-06-02

### Run

First run of ATLAS has been extremely successful
Run from 2010-2012 and collected 25 fb<sup>-1</sup> physics data
Data taking efficiency for 2011 and 2012



### Run

- Operating at 7 TeV (2010-11) and 8 TeV(2012) centre of mass
   ~80% of data recorded at 8 TeV
- Instantaneous luminosity reached 8x10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> with 50 ns bunch spacing

Collisions per bunch crossing slightly above design



# Challenging Environment

 This provides a very challenging environment for physics analyses! Multiple proton-proton collisions in each beam crossing provides a lot of in-time background • Use vertices to separate collisions

### $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ with 25 vertices



**DEPARTMENT** 

2014-06-02

## Single Top Production

- Single top production useful for measuring V<sub>tb</sub>
  - Using t-channel production
- Select events with two jets (one btagged) plus isolated e/µ and MET
- Backgrounds significant
  - ttbar, W/Z+jets to NNLO
  - di-boson to NLO
  - Multi-jet with jet faking electron
- Analysis uses "NeuroBayes"
  - 3 layer neural network with complex input preprocessing

• Result assuming aMC@NLO in pb:  $\sigma_t = 82.6 \pm 1.2 (\text{stat.}) \pm 11.4 (\text{syst.})$  $\pm 3.1 (\text{PDF}) \pm 2.3 (\text{lumi.})$ 

2014-06-02

R. Moore



UNIVERSITY OF

DEPARTMENT

### Top Mass

#### Combination of ATLAS, CMS, DØ and CDF top mass measurements

#### arXiv:1403.4427

• Tevatron: = 1.96 TeV = up to 8.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> HC: **7** TeV = up to 4.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> Channels included: Iepton+jets di-lepton all jets

MET+jets

2014-06-02

Tevatron+LHC m <sub>top</sub> combination - March 2014, $L_{int} = 3.5 \text{ fb}^{-1} - 8.7 \text{ fb}^{-1}$										
ATLAS + CDF + CMS + D0 Preliminary										
CDF RunII, I+jets L <sub>int</sub> = 8.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	H		172.85 ± 1.12 (0.52 ± 0	0.49 ± 0.86)						
CDF RunII, di-lepton		<b></b>	$170.28 \pm 3.69$ (1.95	± 3.13)						
CDF RunII, all jets		••	172.47 ± 2.01 (1.43 ± 0	).95 ± 1.04)						
CDF RunII, $E_T^{miss}$ +jets L <sub>et</sub> = 8.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>			173.93 ± 1.85 (1.26 ±	1.05 ± 0.86)						
D0 RunII, I+jets			174.94 ± 1.50 (0.83 ± 0	0.47 ± 1.16)						
D0 RunII, di-lepton			174.00 ± 2.79 (2.36 ±	0.55 ± 1.38)						
ATLAS 2011, I+jets		<b>.</b>	172.31 ± 1.55 (0.23 ± 0	).72 ± 1.35)						
ATLAS 2011, di-lepton			173.09 ± 1.63 (0.64	± 1.50)						
CMS 2011, I+jets L <sub>int</sub> = 4.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>		I	173.49 ± 1.06 (0.27 ±	0.33 ± 0.97)						
CMS 2011, di-lepton	<b></b>	<b>••</b>	172.50 ± 1.52 (0.43	± 1.46)						
CMS 2011, all jets L <sub>int</sub> = 3.5 fb <sup>-1</sup>			173.49 ± 1.41(0.69	± 1.23)						
World comb. 2014 $\chi^2 / ndf$ $\chi^2 prob$	<sup>2</sup> =4.3/10 0.=93%		173.34 ± 0.76 (0.27 ± 0	0.24 ± 0.67)						
			total ( <mark>stat</mark> .	JES syst.)						
165	170	175	180	18 m <sub>top</sub> [GeV]						

UNIVERSITY OF

R. Moore

9

DEPARTMENT OF

## Higgs Physics

- Highlight of Run I was the discovery of the Higgs boson
  - Final Run I analyses still underway: expect completion later this year
- Recent results for Higgs decay channels
  - Boson decays well established, fermion channels less so
  - Slight excess in H signal
  - Not statistically significant μ=(σ×BR)/(σ×BR)<sub>SM</sub>

2014-06-02

#### R. Moore



DEPARTMENT

UNIVERSITY OF

# Higgs Physics



- Coupling of Higgs to bosons well established
  - Discovery channels were YY, ZZ and WW
- Coupling to fermions is the current challenge
  - Two approaches

    - associated production
       direct fermion decays
- Both difficult
  - SM: σ(ttH) ~<0.2 pb</p>
  - bb and TT decays have large BRs but large backgrounds



UNIVERSITY OF

2014-06-02

- New search from ATLAS
   Look for ttH production with H→bb
- Require I or 2 semi-leptonic (e,µ) top quark decays
  - High pT leptons have low backgrounds
  - top mass large enough that lepton will be isolated
- Cut events where lepton invariant mass close to m<sub>Z</sub>
  - Z+jet event background
  - No MET cuts required
  - Tag b-jets via displaced vertex
- Neural network used for analysis

2014-06-02



- New search from ATLAS
   Look for ttH production with H→bb
- Require I or 2 semi-leptonic (e,µ) top quark decays
  - High pT leptons have low backgrounds
  - top mass large enough that lepton will be isolated
- Cut events where lepton invariant mass close to mZ
  - Z+jet event background
  - No MET cuts required
  - Tag b-jets via displaced vertex
- Neural net used for analysis

2014-06-02

R. Moore



DEPARTMENT OF

UNIVERSITY OF

## Evidence of $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$

### Tlep Thad, VBF Result



• Use both hadronic and leptonic tau decays in combinations

- Iep-lep, lep-had and had-had
- Two production types considered
  - gluon fusion & vector boson fusion
- Boosted Decision Trees
  - Same technique used for tau ID both offline and in the high level trigger
  - Largest backgrounds from Z, tt and jets faking taus
- Evidence at 4.1σ (3.2σ expected)
   m<sub>H</sub>=125 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> signal strength of full combination:
- $\mu = 1.43^{+0.31}_{-0.29} (\text{stat.})^{+0.41}_{-0.30} (\text{syst.})^{+0.41}_{-0.30} (\text{syst.})^{+0.41}_{-$

DEPARTMENT

UNIVERSITY OF

2014-06-02



 H→bb has massive QCD background Look for associated production with W/Z or ttbar Major backgrounds: W/Z+bb, tt, di-boson Look at events with 2+3 jets, 0-2 leptons & 2 b-tags •  $W \rightarrow lv, Z \rightarrow ll, Z \rightarrow vv [l=e, \mu]$  Result is 95% CL limit at **I.4 times SM expectation** for  $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ 

DEPARTMENT

UNIVERSITY OF

# Higgs Spin

- Spin measurement uses a statistical approach
  - Assume only one particle contributes to resonance
- Compare different J<sup>P</sup> possibilities
  - 0<sup>+</sup>(ZZ\*,WW\*,YY) [SM], 0<sup>-</sup>(ZZ\*), 1<sup>±</sup>(ZZ\*,WW\*), 2<sup>+</sup>(ZZ\*,WW\*,YY)
  - Z/W decaying to e or  $\mu$
- Spin-I strongly disfavoured by existence of γγ decay
- Result: exclude other spinparity states at 2-3σ level

2014-06-02

R. Moore



DEPARTMENT OF

UNIVERSITY OF

17

### Supersymmetric Higgs

### Higgs Cascade Decay Requires: m(H)>m(H<sup>±</sup>)>m(h) and that Δm>m(W)



2014-06-02

- SUSY requires at least 2 Higgs doublets
  - At least 5 Higgs bosons to find!
    - 3 neutral: h, H, A (CP odd)
    - **-** 2 charged:  $H^{\pm}$
- Even without SUSY 2 Higgs doublets possible
- Two main search methods
  - Direct production of a new Higgs particle
  - SM deviations due to H<sup>±</sup> participation in electroweak interactions
    - Different mass and couplings compared to W<sup>±</sup>
- Higgs cascades even possible!

# Higgs Cascade

- Final state has 2 W bosons+2
   b-jets
  - Require one W to decay leptonically (e/µ)
- SM Backgrounds
  - ttbar dominant SM background (t→Wb)
- Use Boosted Decision Tree to separate signal and background
- No excess over SM backgrounds observed
  - Limits still above predicted  $\sigma$  but close in high  $m_{H0}/M_{H\pm}$  area



2014-06-02

# Invisible Higgs



New physics also offer the intriguing possibility of "invisible" Higgs decays
e.g if the SUSY LSP is light enough a Higgs can decay to it
LSP has weak cross-section so no detector interaction

 Need a production channel with other observables to see a recoil from the Higgs

 ZH associated production (shown here)

but also VBF and ggH (monojet)

DEPARTMENT OF

20

Coming soon...

UNIVERSITY OF

### Supersymmetry

- ATLAS has also searched for production of other SUSY particles
- Two categories:
  - Strongly produced particles: squarks, gluinos
  - Weakly produced: charginos, neutralinos
- Searches complicated by huge size of SUSY parameter space
  - Decay of particles varies depending on the precise choice of parameters
  - Common feature is missing transverse energy in many models
    - = R-parity conservation  $\rightarrow$  LSP stable
  - R-parity violating models also considered
  - Also models with long-lived particles
- Recent search considered final states with 4 leptons [arXiv:1405.5086]
- Also a purely general search [ATLAS-CONF-2014-006]

2014-06-02

### R. Moore



**Electroweak Neutralino** 

## 4-Lepton Search



2014-06-02

R. Moore

- Many possible SM backgrounds considered
  - diboson, triboson, Higgs, top +boson(s), ttbar, single top, W/Z +jets and multi-jet
  - Jets can fake electrons
- Nine signal regions defined based on:
  - Number of taus vs. e/µ
  - Missing ET

UNIVERSITY OF

- How the Z mass veto, or requirement, is applied
- As expected backgrounds are very small and in agreement with data

DEPARTMENT OF

# 4-Lepton Search

### No signal so set limits depending on model considered RPC, sleptons RPC, Z



## Gluinos and Squarks

- Gluinos and squarks strongly produced
   high cross-section if mass in reach
- Stop squark mass is a key ingredient to prevent Higgs mass floating high
  - Key motivation for SUSY
  - Expect stop mass to be close to electroweak breaking scale(≤400GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)
- Decay chain depends strongly on model
  - Expect leptons from SUSY partners of W and Z
- Recent search
  - 2 same sign or 3 lepton events
  - No excess observed so set limits
- We can also look for direct stop pair production without gluinos
  - Many channels searched and summarized

#### 2014-06-02

#### R. Moore

### gluino mediated stop production limits



DEPARTMENT

74

UNIVERSITY OF

### **Stop Pair Production**



### **O-lepton Squark/Gluino Search**

- Very new result for squark/gluino production with zero leptons and 2-6 jets + MET in final state
  - Limits shown for mSUGRA/CMSSM:  $\tan\beta = 30$ ,  $A = -2m_0$ ,  $\mu > 0$   $\beta = 0$

R. Moore



UNIVERSITY OF

2014-06-02

DEPARTMENT OF

### Black Holes

- Large Extra Dimension models predict possibility of Black Hole production at LHC
  - Alternative solution to hierarchy problem
    - Planck scale at ~TeV, gravity diluted by extra spacial dimensions
  - Disfavoured by BICEP2 results
    - gravity coupling at ~2x10<sup>16</sup> GeV
  - Decay to all SM particles via Hawking radiation
    - Not black body: disfavour low energy emissions
- Trigger on one high pT e or µ
  - Look at scalar pT sum of all particles with pT>60GeV/c

2014-06-02

#### R. Moore

#### 0.0

**Extra Dimension** 

#### **Normal Dimension**



DEPARTMENT

UNIVERSITY OF

### Black Holes



2014-06-02

R. Moore

- No excess over SM backgrounds observed
  - Set limits using ADD models
- Three parameters

UNIVERSITY OF

- M<sub>D</sub>: scale at which extra dimensions 'turn on'
- M<sub>th</sub>: threshold mass for 'classical' BH production
- n: number of extra dimensions
- Set limits in M<sub>D</sub> vs M<sub>th</sub> plane
  - Different limits depending on whether BH is rotating
  - Comparable limits if invisible graviton emission is allowed
    - Gravitons propagate in ED and so are not seen in detector

DEPARTMENT OF

28

# High Mass Di-leptons

- Another recent exotics search is for high mass, di-lepton resonances [arXiv:1405.4123]
  - e.g. Z' bosons, RS graviton excitations etc.
- Only consider electron and muons
  - Easy identification, low backgrounds
- Data consistent with SM backgrounds: Drell-Yan, top, diboson
  - ▶ Detector fake rates, e.g. jet→electron, measured from data



# High Mass Di-leptons

#### Limits set depend on model

R. Moore



2014-06-02

30

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

UNIVERSITY OF

# LHC Run 2

- Major work to upgrade machine in light of 2008 disaster
  - 3,000 splices reconstructed
  - 27,000 shunts installed
- Result will be that the machine will operate close to design specifications
  - I3-I4 TeV centre of mass energy
  - 25 ns bunch spacing
- Run 2 will start in March 2015
  - Initially with 50 ns bunch spacing and high luminosity
    - Expect as many as ~80+ interactions/crossing
    - Move quickly to 25 ns spacing: fewer interactions/crossing but up to 3 crossings inside detector at the same time!

2014-06-02

# ATLAS Upgrades

- ATLAS upgrading to cope with new conditions!
- Pixel detector extracted, repaired and re-inserted
  - Readout fraction up to 98.8% from 95%
  - Insertable B Layer (IBL) inserted (8th May 2014)
    - Improves b-tagging and pileup performance



# ATLAS Upgrades

- Significant trigger and DAQ upgrades
  - Expect factor 5 increase in rates from luminosity and energy!
- Upgrade trigger rates
  - LI from 75 kHz to 100 kHz, essential for 2x10<sup>34</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
  - Total readout rate from 400 Hz to 500/1000 Hz
    - Puts a lot of pressure on offline computing to store and process a far larger data volume
  - Developing parallel algorithms to better utilize modern computing hardware: GPUs and hyperthreading
- New hardware based tracking trigger: FTK
  - Provide reconstructed tracks without large CPU overhead
  - Barrel initially, complete by ~2016

R. Moore

2014-06-02

### Conclusions

- Run I provided some amazing new physics
  - Discovery of the first fundamental scalar particle
  - ... but no explanation as to why it is so light vs. M<sub>planck</sub>
- Run 2 will effectively double our reach for new, high energy physics
  - Provides what will likely be the best chance for a major new discovery at the LHC
    - Future luminosity increases (HL-LHC) will only slowly improve our energy reach
- Tantalizing hints from BICEP2 (if confirmed!)
  - Gravity coupling scale roughly equal to SUSY GUT scale
- So watch this space...the Quarks-2016 ATLAS talk will hopefully contain some exciting discoveries!

2014-06-02

R. Moore

34

## Backup Slides

2014-06-02

R. Moore

ALBERTA DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

35

## Gluinos and Squarks

- Gluinos and squarks strongly produced
   high cross-section if mass in reach
- Stop squark mass is a key ingredient to prevent Higgs mass floating high
  - Key motivation for SUSY
  - Expect stop mass to be close to electroweak breaking scale(≤400GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)
- Decay chain depends strongly on model
  - Expect leptons from SUSY partners of W and Z
- Recent searches
  - 2 same sign or 3 lepton events
  - No excess observed so set limits
- We can also look for direct stop pair production without gluinos
  - Many channels searched and summarized

R. Moore

2014-06-02

### gluino mediated stop production limits



DEPARTMENT

### **SUSY Summary**

**ATLAS** Preliminary

 $\int \mathcal{L} dt = (4.6 - 22.9) \text{ fb}^{-1}$   $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$ 

RTMENT OF

37

DEP

#### ATLAS SUSY Searches\* - 95% CL Lower Limits

Status: Moriond 2014

	Model	$e, \mu, \tau, \gamma$	Jets	$E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$	∫ <i>L dt</i> [fb	<sup>-1</sup> ] Mass limit		Reference
Inclusive Searches	$ \begin{array}{l} MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ \tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q q \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{1} \rightarrow q q W^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q q (\ell \ell / \ell \nu / \nu \nu) \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ GMSB (\ell  NLSP) \\ GMSB (\ell  NLSP) \\ GGM (bino  NLSP) \\ GGM (mino  NLSP) \\ GGM (higgsino-bino  NLSP) \\ GGM (higgsino  NLSP) \\ Gravitino  LSP \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 1 - 2 \ \tau \\ 2 \ \gamma \\ 1 \ e, \mu + \gamma \\ \gamma \\ 2 \ e, \mu \left( Z \right) \\ 0 \end{array}$	2-6 jets 3-6 jets 2-6 jets 2-6 jets 3-6 jets 0-3 jets 0-2 jets - 1 <i>b</i> 0-3 jets mono-jet	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	$\tilde{q}, \tilde{g}$ 1.7 Te $\tilde{g}$ 1.2 TeV $\tilde{g}$ 1.1 TeV $\tilde{g}$ 740 GeV $\tilde{g}$ 1.3 TeV $\tilde{g}$ 1.3 TeV $\tilde{g}$ 1.18 TeV $\tilde{g}$ 1.12 TeV $\tilde{g}$ 1.12 TeV $\tilde{g}$ 1.24 TeV $\tilde{g}$ 1.24 TeV $\tilde{g}$ 1.28 TeV $\tilde{g}$ 619 GeV $\tilde{g}$ 619 GeV $\tilde{g}$ 690 GeV $\tilde{g}$ 690 GeV $\tilde{g}$ 690 GeV	$ \begin{split} \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{q}) = \mathbf{m}(\tilde{g}) \\ & \text{any } \mathbf{m}(\tilde{q}) \\ & \text{any } \mathbf{m}(\tilde{q}) \\ & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) = 0 \text{ GeV} \\ & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) = 0 \text{ GeV} \\ & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) < 200 \text{ GeV}, \mathbf{m}(\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}) = 0.5(\mathbf{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) + \mathbf{m}(\tilde{g})) \\ & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 0 \text{ GeV} \\ & \text{tan}\beta < 15 \\ & \text{tan}\beta < 18 \\ & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) > 50 \text{ GeV} \\ & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) > 50 \text{ GeV} \\ & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) > 200 \text{ GeV} \\ & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) > 200 \text{ GeV} \\ & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{g}) > 10^{-4} \text{ eV} \end{split} $	ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 1308.1841 ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 ATLAS-CONF-2013-089 1208.4688 ATLAS-CONF-2013-026 ATLAS-CONF-2013-026 ATLAS-CONF-2012-144 1211.1167 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152 ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
3 <sup>rd</sup> gen. ẽ med.	$ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{g} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \tilde{\chi}^{0}_{1} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}^{0}_{1} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}^{0}_{1} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow b \tilde{t} \tilde{\chi}^{1}_{1} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow b \tilde{t} \tilde{\chi}^{1}_{1} \end{array} $	0 0 0-1 <i>e</i> ,μ 0-1 <i>e</i> ,μ	3 <i>b</i> 7-10 jets 3 <i>b</i> 3 <i>b</i>	Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.3 20.1 20.1	\$\tilde{g}\$         1.2 TeV           \$\tilde{g}\$         1.1 TeV           \$\tilde{g}\$         1.34 TeV           \$\tilde{g}\$         1.3 TeV	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){<}600GeV \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){<}350GeV \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){<}400GeV \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){<}300GeV \end{array}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-061 1308.1841 ATLAS-CONF-2013-061 ATLAS-CONF-2013-061
3 <sup>rd</sup> gen. squarks direct production	$ \begin{split} \tilde{b}_{1}\tilde{b}_{1}, \tilde{b}_{1} \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{b}_{1}\tilde{b}_{1}, \tilde{b}_{1} \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \\ \tilde{t}_{1}\tilde{t}_{1} (\text{light}), \tilde{t}_{1} \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \\ \tilde{t}_{1}\tilde{t}_{1} (\text{light}), \tilde{t}_{1} \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \\ \tilde{t}_{1}\tilde{t}_{1} (\text{medium}), \tilde{t}_{1} \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{t}_{1}\tilde{t}_{1} (\text{medium}), \tilde{t}_{1} \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{t}_{1}\tilde{t}_{1} (\text{heavy}), \tilde{t}_{1} \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{t}_{1}\tilde{t}_{1} (\text{heavy}), \tilde{t}_{1} \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{t}_{1}\tilde{t}_{1} (\text{netural GMSB}) \\ \tilde{t}_{2}\tilde{t}_{2}, \tilde{t}_{2} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_{1} + Z \end{split} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2\ e,\mu\ (\text{SS})\\ 1-2\ e,\mu\\ 2\ e,\mu\\ 2\ e,\mu\\ 0\\ 1\ e,\mu\\ 0\\ 2\ e,\mu\\ 0\\ 3\ e,\mu\ (Z) \end{array}$	2 b 0-3 b 1-2 b 0-2 jets 2 jets 2 b 1 b 2 b nono-jet/c-t 1 b 1 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.7 4.7 20.3 20.3 20.1 20.7 20.5 20.3 20.3 20.3	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{split} & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) < 90  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{+}) = 2  m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 55  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 55  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 1  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 200  \mathrm{GeV}  m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{+}) - m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 5  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 0  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 0  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 1  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 5  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 5  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 5  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) = 150  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) < 150  \mathrm{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}) < 200  \mathrm{GeV} \end{split} $	1308.2631 ATLAS-CONF-2013-007 1208.4305, 1209.2102 1403.4853 1403.4853 1308.2631 ATLAS-CONF-2013-037 ATLAS-CONF-2013-024 ATLAS-CONF-2013-068 1403.5222 1403.5222
EW direct	$ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\ell}_{\mathrm{L,R}} \tilde{\ell}_{\mathrm{L,R}}, \tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \ell \nu (\ell \tilde{\nu}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{0}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau} \nu (\tau \tilde{\nu}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{L} \nu \tilde{\ell}_{L} \ell (\tilde{\nu} \nu), \ell \tilde{\nu} \tilde{\ell}_{L} \ell (\tilde{\nu} \nu) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} Z \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} h \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \end{array} $	2 e,μ 2 e,μ 2 τ 3 e,μ 2-3 e,μ 1 e,μ	0 0 - 0 2 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.7 20.3 20.3 20.3	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}0\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}0\text{GeV},m(\tilde{\ell},\tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}){+}m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}0\text{GeV},m(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}){+}m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ \overset{\scriptscriptstyle\pm}{n}){=}m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}),m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}0,m(\tilde{\ell},\tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}){+}m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}){=}m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}),m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}0,sleptonsdecoupled \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}){=}m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}),m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}0,sleptonsdecoupled \end{array}$	1403.5294 1403.5294 ATLAS-CONF-2013-028 1402.7029 1403.5294, 1402.7029 ATLAS-CONF-2013-093
Long-lived particles	Direct $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ prod., long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$ Stable, stopped $\tilde{g}$ R-hadron GMSB, stable $\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu}) + \tau(e,$ GMSB, $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$ , long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow qq\mu$ (RPV)	Disapp. trk 0 $\mu$ ) 1-2 $\mu$ 2 $\gamma$ 1 $\mu$ , displ. vtx	1 jet 1-5 jets - - -	Yes Yes - Yes -	20.3 22.9 15.9 4.7 20.3	$\tilde{X}_1^{\pm}$ 270 GeV $\tilde{S}$ 832 GeV $\tilde{X}_1^0$ 475 GeV $\tilde{X}_1^0$ 230 GeV $\tilde{q}$ 1.0 TeV	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{\chi}_1^+){-}m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0){=}160 \; MeV, \; \tau(\tilde{\chi}_1^+){=}0.2 \; ns \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0){=}100 \; GeV, \; 10 \; \mu{<}{<}\tau(\tilde{g}){<}1000 \; s \\ 10{<}\tan\beta{<}50 \\ 0.4{<}\tau(\tilde{\chi}_1^0){<}2 \; ns \\ 1.5 < c\tau{<}156 \; mm, \; BR(\mu){=}1, \; m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0){=}108 \; GeV \end{array}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-069 ATLAS-CONF-2013-057 ATLAS-CONF-2013-058 1304.6310 ATLAS-CONF-2013-092
RPV	$ \begin{array}{c} LFV \ pp \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e + \mu \\ LFV \ pp \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e(\mu) + \tau \\ Bilinear \ RPV \ CMSSM \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow ee \tilde{v}_{\mu}, e\mu \tilde{v}_{e} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \tau \tau \tilde{v}_{e}, e\tau \tilde{v}_{\tau} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow qqq \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\iota}_{1} t, \tilde{\iota}_{1} \rightarrow bs \end{array} $	$2 e, \mu  1 e, \mu + \tau  1 e, \mu  4 e, \mu  3 e, \mu + \tau  0  2 e, \mu (SS)$	7 jets - - 6-7 jets 0-3 <i>b</i>	- Yes Yes Yes - Yes	4.6 4.6 4.7 20.7 20.7 20.3 20.7	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\lambda'_{311}=0.10, \lambda_{132}=0.05 \lambda'_{311}=0.10, \lambda_{1(2)33}=0.05 m(\tilde{q})=m(\tilde{g}), c\tau_{LSP}<1 mm m(\tilde{\chi}^0_1)>300 GeV, \lambda_{121}>0 m(\tilde{\chi}^0_1)>80 GeV, \lambda_{133}>0 BR(t)=BR(b)=BR(c)=0\%$	1212.1272 1212.1272 ATLAS-CONF-2012-140 ATLAS-CONF-2013-036 ATLAS-CONF-2013-036 ATLAS-CONF-2013-091 ATLAS-CONF-2013-007
Other	Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow q\bar{q}$ Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow t\bar{t}$ WIMP interaction (D5, Dirac $\chi$ )	0 2 <i>e</i> , μ (SS) 0	4 jets 2 <i>b</i> mono-jet	- Yes Yes	4.6 14.3 10.5	sgluon         100-287 GeV           sgluon         350-800 GeV           M* scale         704 GeV	incl. limit from 1110.2693 m( $\chi$ )<80 GeV, limit of<687 GeV for D8	1210.4826 ATLAS-CONF-2013-051 ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
	$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ full data	$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV artial data	$\sqrt{s} = $ full	8 TeV data		10 <sup>-1</sup> 1	Mass scale [TeV]	-

\*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. All limits quoted are observed minus 1 $\sigma$  theoretical signal cross section uncertainty.

R. Moore

#### 2014-06-02

1 / A REAL PROPERTY AND A

## **General SUSY Searches**

- As well as specific SUSY searches ATLAS can perform more generic searches [ATLAS-CONF-2014-006]
  - Fewer assumptions possible about the final states so less sensitivity
  - Need an excellent understanding of the data: any misunderstood detector effect or background can give a signal
  - ...but may catch a signal which nobody has thought to look for!
- Classify events according to the particles in final state
  - jet (j), b-jet (b), electron (e), muon ( $\mu$ ), photon ( $\gamma$ ), MET ( $\nu$ )
  - = e.g.  $|j|b2\mu = |jet, |b-jet and 2 muons in the final state$
  - PT thresholds for each object
    - = e.g. jet  $p_T$ >50GeV/c,  $\mu$   $p_T$ >25 GeV/c and MET>150 GeV
- Only sees high pT physics: low pT phenomena or those with large SM backgrounds will not be seen
- Many different MC samples used to simulate SM backgrounds
  - multijet, Z/W+jets, γ and γγ+jets, W/Z+γ/γγ, top quark (pair and single), top +vector boson, diboson, triboson, higgs

38

2014-06-02

### **General SUSY Searches**

- SM expectation of >0.1 events in 697 event classes with 20.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> data
  - Events observed in 573 classes
     I6 event classes have SM prediction <0.1 but have at least I data event
    - = 2 events in 2µ1e5j class
- Most event classes show agreement between SM prediction and observation
  - Observed deviations consistent with statistical expectation

2014-06-02



## **General SUSY Searches**



2014-06-02

R. Moore

- Search event classes comparing 3 distributions
  - Scalar pT sum
  - Visible invariant mass
    - Defined as invariant mass of all object except MET
  - MET distribution

UNIVERSITY OF

- Algorithm looks for region of largest deviation
  - Bin size based on expected resolution
  - Regions where background uncertainty is >100% are discarded
- Pseudo-experiments used to determine expected number of deviations with p-value
- Conclusion: data is consistent with SM predictions

DEPARTMENT OF

## LHC Run Plan

### • LHC currently preparing for Run 2 in March 2015



### Electroweak SUSY

- EW SUSY events have lower crosssections but produce leptons in final state
  - Lower backgrounds: ttbar, single top and diboson
- Events involve chargino, neutralino or sleptons
  - Decays model dependent but, like SM partners, will likely include leptons
- Recent search looked for 2 e/µ + MET in final state
  - 7 signal regions used: 3 slepton, 3 chargino+W(Iv) and I chargino +neutralino(2) + W(qq), Z(II)
  - Lepton flavour allowed to be the same (SF) or different (DF)
- MC backgrounds normalized to control regions and predicted in signal regions

2014-06-02

R. Moore

![](_page_41_Figure_11.jpeg)

DEPARTMENT

UNIVERSITY OF

### Electroweak SUSY

- Limits for chargino(I)+neutralino(I) and slepton channels
  - Simplified SUSY model: prompt decays, common slepton masses, chargino/neutralinos are winos

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Electroweak SUSY**

 Searches for chargino(1)+ neutralino(2) include taus in final state [JHEP 04 (2014)169+see plot]

- Three leptons: even lower backgrounds
- Other searches include higgs in decays
- Combination

 Assume m(charginol)= m(neutralino2)

2014-06-02

![](_page_43_Figure_7.jpeg)