

– Plan

1. Introduction

- 2. Model
- 3. Widths of $l_i \rightarrow l_j \gamma$ decays

4. Numerical results and discussion

5. Conclusions



Kolomna, Russia, June 7, 2010 Quarks-2010

Scalar Leptoquark Contributions into $l_i \rightarrow l_j \gamma$ Processes (page 3)

1. Introduction

Current limits from direct search: $M_{LQ} \sim 200 - 300$ GeV, Indirect limits from $K_L^0 \to \mu^{\pm} e^{\mp}$, S, T, U parameters, q-2 and others close to direct limits Other source \rightarrow LFV Processes Exist strong experimental limits $Br(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) < 1.2 \cdot 10^{-11}$ [M.Ahmed et al., (MEGA Collab) PRD 65 (2002): $Br(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma) < 4.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$ [K.Hayasaka et al., (Belle Collab) ArXiv:0705.0650] $Br(\tau \to e\gamma) < 3.3 \cdot 10^{-8}$ [E.Guido, Moriond 2010] $Br(l_i \to l_j \gamma) \to m_{S^{(\pm)}} \to ?$

2. Model 2. Minimal Quark-Lepton Symmetry Model $SU_V(4) \times SU_L(2) \times U_R(1).$ (A. D. Smirnov, Phys. Lett. B 346, YaF58 1995.) $\psi_{iaA}^{L,R} \sim (4,2,Y_a^R),$ $\psi_{ia\alpha}^{L,R} = Q_{ia\alpha}^{\prime L,R} = \sum_{i} \left(A_{Q_a}^{L,R} \right)_{ij} Q_{ja\alpha}^{L,R},$ $\psi_{ia4}^{L,R} = l'_{ia}^{L,R} = \sum \left(A_{l_a}^{L,R}\right)_{ij} l_{ja}^{L,R},$ where $a = 1, 2 - SU_{L}(2)$ index, A= α , 4, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3 - SU_{c}(3)$ index i, j = 1, 2, 3 – indices of generations $C_Q = (A_{Q_1}^L)^+ A_{Q_2}^L \equiv V_{CKM}$ $C_l = (A_{l_1}^L)^+ A_{l_2}^L \equiv U_{PMNS}^+$ $K_{a}^{L,R} = (A_{O}^{L,R})^{+} A_{l}^{L,R}$

Kolomna, Russia, June 7, 2010 Quarks-2010

Scalar Leptoquark Contributions into $l_i \rightarrow l_j \gamma$ Processes (page 5)

	ector			
(4, 1, 1),	(1, 2, 1),	(15, 2, 1),	(15)	(5, 1, 0)
η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4	– VEV,
$\tan\beta = \eta_3/\eta_2$	$\eta = \sqrt{\eta_2^2}$	$\overline{\eta_2^2 + \eta_3^2} \approx 250$	GeV	– SM VEV

2. Model

The interactions give contributions into $l_i \rightarrow l_j \gamma$ $\mathcal{L}_{S_1^{(+)}u_i l_j} = \bar{u}_{i\alpha} \left[(h_+^L)_{ij} P_L + (h_+^R)_{ij} P_R \right] l_j S_{1\alpha}^{(+)} + \text{h.c.}$ $\mathcal{L}_{S_m d_i l_j} = \bar{d}_{i\alpha} \left[(h_{2m}^L)_{ij} P_L + (h_{2m}^R)_{ij} P_R \right] l_j S_{m\alpha} + \text{h.c.}$ where $P_{L,R} = (1 \pm \gamma_5)/2$ are projection operators and $(h^{L,R})_{ij}$ are generation-matrix Yukawa coupling in the MQLS model. $S_2^{(+)} = \sum c_m^{(+)} S_m, \qquad \mathring{S}_2^{(-)} = \sum c_m^{(-)} S_m,$ mmwhere $c_m^{(\pm)}$, m = 0, 1, 2, 3 are the elements of the unitary scalar leptoquark mixing matrix.

-2. Model

Because of Higgs origin the coupling constants are proportional to the ratios of fermionic masses to the SM VEV

 $m_u/\eta \sim m_d/\eta \sim 10^{-5}$ $m_s/\eta \sim 10^{-3}$ $m_c/\eta \sim m_b/\eta \sim 10^{-2}$ $m_t/\eta \sim 0.7$

The dominant coupling constants are

$$(h_{+}^{L})_{3j} = \frac{\sqrt{6} m_{t}}{2\eta \sin \beta} (K_{1}^{L}C_{l})_{3j},$$

$$(h_{+}^{R})_{3j} = -\frac{\sqrt{6} m_{b}}{2\eta \sin \beta} (C_{Q})_{33} (K_{2}^{R})_{3j},$$

$$(h_{2m}^{L,R})_{3j} = -\frac{\sqrt{6} m_{b}}{2\eta \sin \beta} (K_{2}^{L,R})_{3j} c_{m}^{(\mp)},$$

i.e. SLQs of MQLS model are like LQ of 3-d generation. [P.Yu. Popov, A.V. Povarov and A.D. Smirnov, MPLA20 (2005).]



Diagrams representing the contribution of scalar leptoquarks (SLQ) into the $l_i \rightarrow l_j \gamma$ Processes: $q = u_i(d_i)$ is the up(down) quark of the *i*th generation and $S_{LQ} = S_1^{(+)}(S_m)$ is the SLQ corresponding to the above quarks.

Contributions of S_m and *b*-quark in the amplitude $l_i \rightarrow l_j \gamma$ suppress by m_b^2/m_t^2 comparing with ones of $S_1^{(+)}$ and *t*-quark - 3.Widths

General form of the $l_i \rightarrow l_j \gamma$ amplitude (one loop approximation)

$$M = -\frac{|e|}{64\pi^2 m_{LQ}^2} \bar{l}_j \sigma^{\mu\nu} q^{\nu} \left[m_i \left(Q_k F_4(x) - Q_s F_2(x) \right) \left((|h_+^L|^2)_{ji} P_R + (|h_+^R|^2)_{ji} P_L \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. + 2m_k \left(Q_k F_3(x) - Q_s F_3(x) \right) \left((h_+^L h_+^R)_{ji} P_R + (h_+^R h_+^L)_{ji} P_L \right) \right] l_i \epsilon^{\mu},$$

$$Decay \ probability$$

$$W(l_i \to l_j \gamma) = \frac{9\alpha m_i}{256(4\pi)^4} \left(\frac{m_i}{\eta}\right)^4 x^2 \left(B_1^2(x)k_{ij}^{(1)} + 4\left(\frac{m_b}{m_i}\right)^2 B_2^2(x)k_{ij}^{(2)} - 2\frac{m_b}{m_i} B_1(x) B_2(x) Re(k_{ij}^{(12)})\right),$$

$$B_1(x) = Q_k F_4(x) - Q_S F_2(x), \qquad B_2(x) = Q_k F_3(x) - Q_S F_1(x),$$

where Q_k , Q_S are electric charge of q_k -quark and LQ, and $x=m_k^2/m_{LQ}^2$

3.Widths: functions

$$F_{2}(x) = \frac{1}{6(1-x)^{4}}(1-6x+3x^{2}+2x^{3}-6x^{2}\ln x),$$

$$F_{3}(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^{3}}(1-x^{2}+2x\ln x),$$

$$F_{5}(x) = \frac{1}{6(1-x)^{4}}(2+3x-6x^{2}+x^{3}-6x\ln x),$$

$$F_{6}(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^{3}}(-3+4x-x^{2}-2\ln x).$$
where $x = m_{k}^{2}/m_{LQ}^{2}$

3.Widths: matricies

$$\begin{aligned} k_{ij}^{(1)} &= \frac{|(K_1^L C_l)_{3j}|^2 |(K_1^L C_l)_{3i}|^2}{\sin^4 \beta}, \\ k_{ij}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{\sin^4 \beta} \bigg(|(K_1^L C_l)_{3j}|^2 |(K_2^R)_{3i}|^2 + (i \leftrightarrow j) \bigg), \\ k_{ij}^{(12)} &= \frac{1}{\sin^4 \beta} \bigg((|(K_1^L C_l)_{3j}|^2 + |(K_2^R)_{3j}|^2) \times \\ &\times ((K_1^L C_l)_{3i}^* (K_2^R)_{3i} + (K_2^R)_{3i}^* (K_1^L C_l)_{3i}) + (i \leftrightarrow j) \bigg). \end{aligned}$$

- 4.Numerical Results -

4. Numerical Results and Discussion.

$$Br(\mu \to e\gamma) = 1.1 \times 10^{-4} x^2 \left(B_1^2(x) k_{\mu e}^{(1)} - 84B_1(x) B_2(x) Re(k_{\mu e}^{(12)}) + 7056 B_2^2(x) k_{\mu e}^{(2)} \right),$$

$$Br(\tau \to e\gamma) = 2.2 \times 10^{-5} x^2 \left(B_1^2(x) k_{\tau e}^{(1)} - 4.8B_1(x) B_2(x) Re(k_{\tau e}^{(12)}) + 20B_2^2(x) k_{\tau e}^{(2)} \right),$$

$$Br(\tau \to \mu\gamma) = 2.2 \times 10^{-5} x^2 \left(B_1^2(x) k_{\tau \mu}^{(1)} - 4.8B_1(x) B_2(x) Re(k_{\tau \mu}^{(12)}) + 20B_2^2(x) k_{\tau \mu}^{(2)} \right).$$

- 4.Numerical Results: Variant I I) Main Contribution $Br(\mu \to e\gamma) = 0.7x^2 B_2^2(x) k_{\mu e}^{(2)},$ $k_{\mu e}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sin^4 \beta} \left(|(K_1^L C_l)_{3e}|^2|(K_2^R)_{3\mu}|^2 + (\mu \leftrightarrow e) \right),$ The Lower Limit on Mass Scalar Leptoquark $S_1^{(+)}$ from Decay $\mu \to e\gamma$ for Different Value of Parameter $k_{\mu e}^{(2)}$.

$k^{(2)}_{\mu e}$	2.5×10^{-12}	10^{-11}	10^{-10}	10^{-6}
$\fbox{$m_{S_1^{(+)}}$ TeV}$	0.2	0.5	1	16

$$\begin{split} (K_2^R)_{13}, (K_1^L C_l)_{13} &\sim 10^{-3}, \quad (K_2^R)_{23}, (K_1^L C_l)_{23} \sim 10^{-2} \\ m_{S_1^{(+)}} &\sim 1 \text{ TeV} \end{split}$$



4.Numerical Results: Variant II II) Variant with $K_2^R = I$ $Br(\mu \to e\gamma) = 1.1 \times 10^{-4} x^2 B_1^2(x) k_{\mu e}^{(1)},$ $k_{\mu e}^{(1)} = \frac{|(K_1^L C_l)_{3e}|^2 |(K_1^L C_l)_{3\mu}|^2}{\sin^4 \beta}.$ Lower limit on scalar leptoquark $S_1^{(+)}$ mass resulting from $\mu \to e\gamma$ with $K^R = I$ for different values of parameter $k_{\mu e}^{(1)}$ $k_{ue}^{(1)}$ 10^{-8} 10^{-6} 10^{-5} 10^{-4} 1 0.01 $m_{S_1^{(+)}} \,\, \mathrm{TeV}$ 0.25 0.55 9.3 34 1.1 2.4 $(K_1^L C_l)_{13} \sim 10^{-2}, \quad (K_1^L C_l)_{23} \sim 0.1$ $m_{S_{\rm I}^{(+)}}\sim 1~{\rm TeV}$



4.Numerical Results: Variant IIa

Ia) Case with
$$K_2^L = K_2^R = I$$
, $C_l = U_{PMNS} \equiv U$
 $k_{\mu e}^{(1)} = \frac{|(U)_{13}|^2 |(U)_{23}|^2}{\sin^4 \beta}$.

Upper limit on the matrix element U_{13} resulting from process $\mu \to e\gamma$ with $K^{R,L} = I$ in dependence on $\sin\beta$ and $S_1^{(+)}$ mass

$m_{S_1^{(+)}} \; \mathrm{TeV}$	0.55	1.3	9.3
$\sin\beta = 0.2$	6×10^{-5}	2×10^{-4}	6×10^{-3}
$\sin\beta = 1$	1×10^{-3}	5×10^{-3}	0.14

 $U_{23}=0.7$ [W.L. Guo and Z.Z. Xing, PRD67, (2003); M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia and

C. Pena-Garay, PRD.68, (2003).]



- 4.Numerical Results: Variants III-IV

III) Variant with $(K_1^L C_l)_{13} = 0, \quad (K_2^R)_{13} = 0$

 $Br(\tau \to \mu \gamma) < 4.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$

Lower Limit on the $S_1^{(+)}$ Mass resulting from $\tau \to \mu \gamma$ in dependence on $k_{\tau\mu}^{(1)} = k_{\tau\mu}^{(2)} = k_{\tau\mu}^{(12)}$

$k_{\tau\mu}^{(1)} = k_{\tau\mu}^{(2)} = k_{\tau\mu}^{(12)}$	10^{-4}	10^{-3}	10^{-2}
$m_{S_1^{(+)}} \mathrm{TeV}$	0.3	0.7	1.4

IV) Interaction of scalar leptoquark S_m (Q = 2/3) gives the lower limit on m_{S_m} weaker than the current experimental ones

-4. Numerical Results: Variant V

V) The Case of the chiral interaction of scalar leptoquarks $S_1^{(+)}$ with fermions gives the limits which coincide with those of the [Variant II]

- 5. Conclusions

Conclusions

The contributions of scalar leptoquarks $S_1^{(+)}$, S_m from the MQLS model in $l_i \rightarrow l_j \gamma$ decays are analysed in comparison with experimental data on $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$, $\tau \rightarrow e\gamma$ decays

It is shown that in the appropriate region of the mixing parameters relatively light scalar leptoquarks (with masses of order 1 TeV or below) do not contradict current experimental restrictions on LFV processes