

# Prompt photon production at HERA with kt-factorization

### S.P. Baranov, <u>A.V. Lipatov</u>, N.P. Zotov<sup>2</sup>

1 — Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia 2 — SINP MSU, Moscow, Russia





### Content

- Motivation
- Phenomenology of kt-factorization
- Some calculation details
- Numerical results
  - photoproduction regime
  - DIS
- Summary

A.V. Lipatov



## **Motivation I**

- Prompt photons are directly coupled to the interacted quarks
- At HERA, they can be produced via direct and resolved photon events
- They directly probe the proton and photon PDFs
- They not affected by the subsequent fragmentation and hadronization









### **Motivation II**

 The NLO QCD predictions are typically 30 - 40% below the photoproduction data

A. Zembrzuski, M. Krawczyk, PRD 64, 114017 (2001)

M. Fontannaz, J.Ph. Guillet, G. Heinrich, EPJ C 21, 303 (2001)

- At DIS, there is substantial underestimation of the data at low  $Q^2$ 
  - A. Gehrmann-De Rider, G. Kramer,
  - H. Spiesberger, PRL 96, 132006 (2006)





A.V. Lipatov



### **Motivation III**

- The visible disagreement in NLO calculations can be reduced by the introducing «by hands» of some intrinsic partonic transverse momentum kt ~ 2 GeV. However, such large kt should have a significant QCD component
- The non-zero partonic kt is naturally occurs in the *kt-factorization* approach of QCD, where it is controlled by the non-collinear (BFKL-like) evolution equations
- First applications of the kt-factorization approach to the prompt photon photo-production have been made

A.V. Lipatov, N.P. Zotov, PRD 72, 054002 (2005)

A.V. Lipatov



### **Motivation IV**



A.V. Lipatov



# kt-factorization approach I



A.V. Lipatov



# kt-factorization approach II

- Matrix elements of partonic subprocesses should be off-shell
- Partonic PDFs should be unintegrated (i.e. kt-dependent)
- Any observable can be calculated by the convolution of off-shell matrix elements with the unintegrated PDFs in both x and kt

#### See more details in

Small-x Collaboration, EPJ C 48, 53 (2006); EPJ C 35, 67 (2004); EPJ C 25, 77 (2002)

A.V. Lipatov



# Calculations I





## Calculations II

In off-shell matrix elements:

- Polarization tensor for off-shell qluons:  $\sum e^{\mu}e^{\nu} = \frac{k_T^{\mu}k_T^{\nu}}{k_T^2}$
- To calculate the spin density matrix for off-shell quarks, we extend the original diagram and consider the off-shell quark line as internal line in the extended diagram.
- In the small-x approximation:  $\sum u \bar{u} = x \hat{p}_p$ (neglecting also the quark masses)

A.V. Lipatov



Calculations III

#### KMR unintegrated PDFs

M.A. Kimber, A.D. Martin, M.G. Ryskin, PRD 63, 114027 (2001)

 $f_{q}(x,k_{T}^{2},\mu^{2}) \sim T_{q}(k_{T}^{2},\mu^{2}) [P_{qq} \times q(x,k_{T}^{2}) + P_{qg} \times g(x,k_{T}^{2})]$  $f_{g}(x,k_{T}^{2},\mu^{2}) \sim T_{g}(k_{T}^{2},\mu^{2}) [P_{gq} \times q(x,k_{T}^{2}) + P_{g} \times g(x,k_{T}^{2})]$ 

CCFM unintegrated PDFs

gluon density has been fitted on DIS data H. Jung, arXiv:hep-ph/0411287 valence quark density has been proposed M. Deak, H. Jung, K. Kutak, DIS'08 sea quark density is approximated by the last gluon splitting, i.e.

 $f_{q}^{(s)}(x,k_{T}^{*},\mu^{2}) \sim P_{qg} \times f_{g}(x,k_{T}^{*},\mu^{2})$ 

A.V. Lipatov



# Calculations IV

Numerical parameters:

- Massless approximation for all quark flavours
- Isolation criterion (also removes the fragmentation photons)
- $N_f = 4$ ,  $\Lambda_{QCD} = 200 \text{ MeV}$
- Hard scale  $\mu^2 = E_T^2$

A.V. Lipatov



# Numerical results: incl. photoproduction



Quarks 2010, Kolomna, Russia, June 6 — 12, 2010



# Numerical results: incl. photoproduction

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Quarks 2010, Kolomna, Russia, June 6 — 12, 2010

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Numerical results: excl. photoproduction

Soild histograms — KMR uPDFs Dashed (dash-dotted) — CCFM set A0 (B0)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

Quarks 2010, Kolomna, Russia, June 6 — 12, 2010

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Numerical results: excl. photoproduction

 $p_T = E_T^{\gamma} \sin \Delta \varphi$ 

Sensitive to the high-order contributions

Soild histograms — KMR Dashed (dash-dotted) — CCFM

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

Quarks 2010, Kolomna, Russia, June 6 — 12, 2010

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

Soild histograms — KMR uPDFs Upper and lower dashed histograms — scale variations in KMR

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

A.V. Lipatov

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

Soild histograms — KMR uPDFs Upper and lower dashed histograms — scale variations in KMR

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

A.V. Lipatov

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

Soild histograms — KMR uPDFs Upper and lower dashed histograms — scale variations in KMR

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

A.V. Lipatov

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

Different description of the H1 and ZEUS data in the exclusive production case

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Quarks 2010, Kolomna, Russia, June 6 — 12, 2010

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Summary

- We presented the numerical calculations for the prompt photon production at HERA (both photo-production and DIS)
- We find a good agreement with the HERA data
- The transverse momentum of initial partons is important for description of the HERA data
- The higher-order QCD contributions are effectively simulated in the kt-factorization approach at LO level
- The contribution from the quarks are important and should be included into the non-collinear evolution cascade

A.V. Lipatov