

# Interpreting dualities from superconformal index identities

Andrei Khmelnitsky

Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow  
Department of Physics, CERN - Theory Division, Geneva

Quarks-2010, Kolomna, 08 Jun 2010

arXiv:[0912.4523](https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.4523) [hep-th]

# Superconformal index

Römelsberger; Kinney et al.

- Extension of Witten  $\text{tr}(-1)^F$  index to count BPS states in superconformal field theories
  - Pick a couple of supercharges  $\{Q, Q^\dagger\} = \Delta > 0$
  - To improve convergence take another operator  $\Xi > \Delta$  that preserves selected supercharge,  $[\Xi, Q] = 0$
  - It's nice to keep track of all other quantum numbers commuting with  $Q$  (e.g. global  $H$  and gauge  $G$  groups,  $R$ -charge)
- $\text{ind}(\beta, \gamma, h) = \int_G d\mu(g) \text{tr} [(-1)^F e^{-\beta\Xi} \gamma g h],$   
 $\gamma \in SU(2)_R, g \in G, h \in H$
- Explicit expression for any symmetry group and field content
- Integration for classical groups made only recently in terms of newly discovered elliptical hypergeometric functions

Spiridonov

# Seiberg duality

- Different theories describe identical physics in their IR fixed points
- Generically both fixed points are non-trivial (thus not a strong/weak coupling duality)

## What do we have on them in IR?

- Physical global symmetries  
Not always identical — accidental enhancement in IR is possible!
- Anomaly coefficients for global currents  
't Hooft matching conditions
- Vacuum manifold or moduli space:  
Given by holomorphic gauge invariants modulo classical relations and conditions of superpotential extremum

# Indices for dual theories **should coincide**

Römelsberger; Dolan & Osborn

- Indices characterise symmetries and field content in deep IR  
**Conjecture is very plausible but still unproven**
- Checked for almost all known  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  SUSY dualities  
Spiridonov, Vartanov
- New dualities are conjectured from index identities!  
**(highly non-trivial relations  $\rightarrow$  strong evidence)**

## Features

- Possible to reconstruct symmetries and field content of theory from index integral
- Various limits of indices correspond to limits for theories (decoupling of fields / gauge symmetry breaking)
- Ample transformation properties  $\rightarrow$  a lot of new duals  
e.g. 72 claimed for  $N_c = 2, N_f = 4$  SQCD, where only 4 were known before

# $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD with $N_c = 2$ colours & $N_f = 4$ flavours

- Field content:
  - $\mathcal{N} = 1$   $SU(2)_c$  SYM
  - 4 quarks  $Q^i$  with  $SU(4)_L$  flavour symmetry
  - 4 antiquarks  $\tilde{Q}_{\bar{j}}$  with  $SU(4)_R$  flavour symmetry
- Fundamentals and antifundamentals of  $SU(2)_c$  are equivalent, possible to combine  $Q^i$  with  $\tilde{Q}_{\bar{j}}$
- Global group is  $SU(8) \supset SU(4)_L \times SU(4)_R \times U(1)_B$
- Superpotential  $W \equiv 0 \rightarrow$  all gauge invariants are moduli
- “Mesons”  $M_j^i \equiv Q^i \cdot \tilde{Q}_{\bar{j}}$ , “baryons”  $B^{ij} \equiv Q^i \cdot Q^j$  and “antibaryons”  $\tilde{B}_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$

- In terms of  $SU(8)$  antisymmetric representation



$B^{ij}$	$M_j^i$
$-M_j^i$	$\tilde{B}_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$

# “Classical” duals for $SU(2)_c$ $N_f = 4$ SQCD

Field content	Quark gauge invariants	Superpotential	Moduli				
$Q^i$ $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})^{+1}$ $\tilde{Q}_j$ $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4})^{-1}$	$B^{ij} \equiv Q^i \cdot Q^j$ $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})^{+2}$ $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \equiv \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{i}} \cdot \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{j}}$ $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})^{-2}$ $M_j^i \equiv Q^i \cdot \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{j}}$ $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})^0$		<table border="1"> <tr> <td><math>B^{ij}</math></td> <td><math>M_j^i</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>-M_i^j</math></td> <td><math>\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}</math></td> </tr> </table>	$B^{ij}$	$M_j^i$	$-M_i^j$	$\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}$
$B^{ij}$	$M_j^i$						
$-M_i^j$	$\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}$						
$q^i$ $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})^{-1}$ $\tilde{q}_{\tilde{j}}$ $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4})^{+1}$ $B^{ij}$ $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})^{+2}$ $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}$ $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})^{-2}$	$C^{ij} \equiv q^i \cdot q^j$ $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})^{-2}$ $\tilde{C}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \equiv \tilde{q}_{\tilde{i}} \cdot \tilde{q}_{\tilde{j}}$ $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})^{+2}$ $N_j^i \equiv q^i \cdot \tilde{q}_{\tilde{j}}$ $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})^0$	$\frac{1}{4\mu} \epsilon^{ijkl} B^{ij} q^k \cdot q^l +$ $\frac{1}{4\mu} \epsilon^{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}\tilde{k}\tilde{l}} \tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \tilde{q}_{\tilde{k}} \cdot \tilde{q}_{\tilde{l}}$	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><math>B^{ij}</math></td> <td><math>N_j^i</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>-N_i^j</math></td> <td><math>\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}</math></td> </tr> </table>	$B^{ij}$	$N_j^i$	$-N_i^j$	$\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}$
$B^{ij}$	$N_j^i$						
$-N_i^j$	$\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}$						
$q_i$ $(\bar{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1})^{+1}$ $\tilde{q}^{\tilde{j}}$ $(\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{4}})^{-1}$ $M_j^i$ $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})^0$	$C_{ij} \equiv q_i \cdot q_j$ $(\bar{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{1})^{+2}$ $\tilde{C}^{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \equiv \tilde{q}^{\tilde{i}} \cdot \tilde{q}^{\tilde{j}}$ $(\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{6}})^{-2}$ $N_{\tilde{i}}^{\tilde{j}} \equiv q_i \cdot \tilde{q}^{\tilde{j}}$ $(\bar{\mathbf{4}}, \bar{\mathbf{4}})^0$	$\frac{1}{\mu} M_j^i q_i \cdot \tilde{q}^{\tilde{j}}$	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><math>\epsilon^{ijkl} C_{kl}</math></td> <td><math>M_j^i</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>-M_i^j</math></td> <td><math>\epsilon^{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}\tilde{k}\tilde{l}} \tilde{C}^{\tilde{k}\tilde{l}}</math></td> </tr> </table>	$\epsilon^{ijkl} C_{kl}$	$M_j^i$	$-M_i^j$	$\epsilon^{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}\tilde{k}\tilde{l}} \tilde{C}^{\tilde{k}\tilde{l}}$
$\epsilon^{ijkl} C_{kl}$	$M_j^i$						
$-M_i^j$	$\epsilon^{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}\tilde{k}\tilde{l}} \tilde{C}^{\tilde{k}\tilde{l}}$						
$q_i$ $(\bar{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1})^{-1}$ $\tilde{q}^{\tilde{j}}$ $(\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{4}})^{+1}$ $B^{ij}$ $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})^{+2}$ $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}$ $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6})^{-2}$ $M_j^i$ $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})^0$	$C_{ij} \equiv q_i \cdot q_j$ $(\bar{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{1})^{-2}$ $\tilde{C}^{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \equiv \tilde{q}^{\tilde{i}} \cdot \tilde{q}^{\tilde{j}}$ $(\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{6}})^{+2}$ $N_{\tilde{i}}^{\tilde{j}} \equiv q_i \cdot \tilde{q}^{\tilde{j}}$ $(\bar{\mathbf{4}}, \bar{\mathbf{4}})^0$	$\frac{1}{\mu} M_j^i q_i \cdot \tilde{q}^{\tilde{j}} +$ $\frac{1}{2\mu} B^{ij} q_i \cdot q_j +$ $\frac{1}{2\mu} \tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} \tilde{q}^{\tilde{i}} \cdot \tilde{q}^{\tilde{j}}$	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><math>B^{ij}</math></td> <td><math>M_j^i</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>-M_i^j</math></td> <td><math>\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}</math></td> </tr> </table>	$B^{ij}$	$M_j^i$	$-M_i^j$	$\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}$
$B^{ij}$	$M_j^i$						
$-M_i^j$	$\tilde{B}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}$						

$SU(4)_L \times SU(4)_R$  structure is inherent in these dualities!?

## Novel duals from index identities

- Discriminate “Seiberg-type” and “Csáki-type” magnetic theories (called so because expressions for indices similar to those of corresponding “classical” duals)
- Seem to break  $SU(4)^2$  pattern to smaller subgroups  
 e.g.  $SU(2)^4 \times U(1)^3$   or  $(SU(3) \times U(1))^2 \times U(1)$  
- Patterns given by overlap of two independent splittings of 8 quarks in two groups of 4
  - Composite vs Elementary moduli
  - Quarks vs Antiquarks (“baryon” charge)
- Latter one is artificial and could be rearranged by field redefinitions
- All new dualities per se are equivalent to the “classical” ones!

## Physical meaning of new duals

- Are there any physical interpretation of index multiplicity?
- On index side comes from discrimination of quark flavours
- On physical side one can couple flavour currents to external field
- Duals will differ by currents coupled to the same external field
- e.g. “baryon” current  $B = \text{diag}(1,1,1,1, -1,-1,-1,-1)$  breaks  $SU(8)$  to  $SU(4) \times U(1) \times SU(4)$  in electric theory
- $B' = \text{diag}(-1, 1,1,1,1, -1,-1,-1)$ ,  
 $B'' = \text{diag}(-1,-1, 1,1,1,1, -1,-1)$  equivalent to  $B$  in electric theory but lead to different patterns of symmetry breaking in magnetic duals (precisely those from previous slide)!



## Other findings and puzzles

- Full symmetry group of index indeed correspond to the set of dual theories
  - One may break  $SU(8)$  down to  $U(1)^7$  introducing asymmetrical enough currents
  - Assigning 7 charges to 28 mesons/baryons could be quite a lot of options
  - Only 35! Charges of magnetic elementary quarks define everything else
  - Charges of magnetic and electric quarks related by  $W(E_7)$  transformations, found to be the full symmetry group of index for these theories
- Various limits of index correspond to limits in dual theories e.g. large masses or vevs for quarks/elementary hadrons
  - Integration out of quarks — flow towards  $N_f = 3$
  - Gauge group breaking
- Superconformal index — powerful tool for non-perturbative exploration of SUSY gauge theories