Quarks 2008

Highlights from Heavy Quark and CP Physics from BABAR (and Belle)







Janis McKenna University of British Columbia Representing the BABAR Collaboration



1

May 23, 2008



A handful of free parameters: Extremely simple and predictive

-Thousands of predicted quantities have been experimentally tested & verified
-At B Factories, we have performed many redundant tests and many precise tests
- so far, no "big holes" in Standard Model.

May 23, 2008

Quarks 2008

BaBar: 15 year Experimental Program in Heavy Quarks & CP violation

New CP violation, new particles, precision measurements: Excitement, press releases & surprises

1993: Construction starts on PEP-II, design & prototypes for BaBar Detector

1994-9: BaBar Detector Construction **1999:** PEP-II & BaBar complete, take data! **2000:** PEP-II runs at design luminosity **2001:** First observation of CP Violation in B system (27 yrs after K) **2003:** New charmed particle $D_{S}(2317)$ 2004: Direct CP violation observed in B system **2004:** PEP-II at 3 × design luminosity **2005:** new charmonium-like particles observed 2006: Precision & consistency in electroweak sector of Standard Model **2007:** First observation of $D^0 - \overline{D^0}$ mixing 2008: Babar's Final Run ended April 7, 2008 Final datasets: just starting to be analysed Ouarks 2008 May 23, 2008



B Factories - Precision Tests of Standard Model: CP violation, CKM mixing mechanism, Search for new physics

•Perform MANY precision tests in the weak sector of the Standard Model, constrain and test for consistency.

WMAP has measured the baryon asymmetry of the universe:

$$BAU = \frac{n_B - n_{\overline{B}}}{n_{\gamma}} \approx (4.7 - 6.5) \times 10^{-10} @95\% \text{ CL}$$

We'd like to understand whether this large baryon asymmetry shares its origin with the tiny matter-antimatter asymmetry in the electroweak sector of the Standard Model - or elsewhere within the Standard Model

Study CP violation in EW sector of Standard Model using a B Factory _{May 23, 2008} Quarks 2008 Janis McKenna 4

Sakharov's conditions

It is possible to start with a baryonic symmetric universe, and make an asymmetrical universe.

1967: Сахаров

Сформулировал 3 условия:

- 1 нарушение барионного заряда
- 2 отсутствие термодинамического равновесия
- 3 нарушение СР

А. Д. Сахаров, *Письма в ЖЭТФ*, **5**, № 1, 32-35, 1 января 1967 A.D. Sakharov, JETP, 5, No. 1, 32-35, 1, 1967.

All exist in Standard Model of Particle Physics

- Big Bang cool-down and expansion: non-equilibrium
- Even minimal Standard Model has baryon number violation (B-L is conserved)
- CP-violation observed in K and B systems

Quarks 2008





Weak Interactions & CKM mixing matrix

$\begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$	= ($\left(egin{array}{c} V_{ud} & V_{cd} & V_{cd} & V_{td} & V_{td} & \end{array} ight)$	V_{us} V_{cs} V_{ts}	$egin{array}{c} V_{ub} \ V_{cb} \ V_{tb} \end{array}$	$\begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$	Cabibbo Introduc Elemen Couplir	-Kobayashi-N ed as origin c ts: ngs between u	Maskawa mixing matrix. of CP-violation in SM, p-type & down-type quarks
Weak		C	KM		Strong	g/Mass	C	
Eigenst	ates	es matrix			Eigenstates			
$egin{pmatrix} V_{ud} \ V_{cd} \ V_{td} \end{pmatrix}$	V_{us} V_{cs} V_{ts}	$ \begin{pmatrix} V_{ub} \\ V_{cb} \\ V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} $	$\approx \left(A \right)$	$1 - \lambda^{2}$ $-\lambda^{3}(1 - \frac{1}{2})$	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$	λ $1 - \lambda^2 / 2$ $-A\lambda^2$ $\lambda^2 / 2$	$A\lambda^{3}(\frac{\rho-i\eta}{A\lambda^{2}})$ $A\lambda^{2}$ 1	$ \left \begin{array}{c} +\vartheta(\lambda^4) \\ A\sim.82, \\ \lambda\sim.224 \end{array} \right $
	$\rho = \rho$	$\left(1-\right)$	λ²/2)		$\eta \equiv \eta (1)$	$-\lambda^2/2)$		(sine of Cabibbo angle)

In Standard Model with 3 quark generations, 4 free parameters: A, λ , ρ , η completely specify quark mixing in electroweak sector. *in* can accommodate CP Violation (in K & B systems). \rightarrow Test it experimentally.

Is the η in CKM matrix indeed the origin of CPV and BAU?

May 23, 2008

Quarks 2008

Janis McKenna

7

We measure ρ , η

CKM mixing & CP Violation in Standard Model



3 generations + unitarity of CKM matrix lead to "unitarity triangle" constraints: Measure α , β , γ and hence $\overline{\rho} \& \overline{\eta}$ via asymmetries in B decays. If $\overline{\eta}=0$ then CKM phase is not the source of CP Violation **Test the Standard Model via its redundancy & constraints.** Measure angles α , β , γ and the triangle sides independently using several different techniques: May 23, 2008 **Quarks 2008** Janis McKenna 8

First, measure β

There are several "Easy" Decay Modes used to measure β : b \rightarrow C C S

 $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0 \qquad J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-(\mu^+\mu^-) \qquad K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ "Easy" to interpret theoretically and to perform experimentally

• Because $\Upsilon(4s)$ is coherent quantum state:

For decays in which we look for "indirect" CP violation in (interference in decays with/without mixing), the integrated (or time averaged) asymmetry in B and \overline{B} decay is zero.

:. In this case \rightarrow must perform <u>time dependent asymmetry measurement</u>

Time Dependent Asymmetry

Time dependent asymmetry A_{CP} :

$$A_{CP}(t) = \frac{(\Gamma(\overline{B}^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{CP}) - (\Gamma(B^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{CP})))}{(\Gamma(\overline{B}^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{CP}) + (\Gamma(B^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{CP})))}$$



$$\mathbf{B}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}^{0}} \overline{\overline{A}_{f}} f_{CP}$$

$$|\boldsymbol{B}_{L,H}\rangle = p|\boldsymbol{B}\rangle \neq q|\boldsymbol{B}\rangle$$

$$\lambda_{f} = \frac{q \ \overline{A}_{f}}{p \ A_{f}} \quad C_{f} = \frac{1 - |\lambda_{f}|^{2}}{1 + |\lambda_{f}|^{2}} \quad S_{f} = \frac{2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{f}}{1 + |\lambda_{f}|^{2}}$$

May 23, 2008

Technique to measure CP in B system

- **1.** Make **lots** of B's: $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \overline{B}B$
- 2. Reconstruct one B^0 ($\overline{B^0}$) decay to a CP eigenstate final state
- 3. Tag the other $B^0(B^0)$ -- i.e. determine whether it is a B^0 or B^0
- 4. Reconstruct the decay vertices of the B's

-and hence determine time (Δt) between 2 B decays

5. Fit and extract CP parameters

And since the dataset is so large, can also look for rare processes in addition to CP violation

May 23, 2008







- <u>Silicon Detector</u>:, 97% efficiency, 15µ z-hit resolution (inner layers)
- Tracking <u>Drift Chamber</u> : $\sigma(p_T)/p_T = 0.13\% \times p_T \oplus 0.45\%$ (1.5T B field)
- EMC: CsI crystal <u>calorimeter</u> $\sigma_E / E = 2.3\% \cdot E^{-1/4} \oplus 1.9\%$
- DIRC : K- π separation >3.4 σ for p<3.5GeV/c particle identification
- IFR and LSTs: <u>Muon detector</u> and K_L identification May 23, 2008 Quarks 2008 Janis McKenna

BABAR Silicon Microvertex Detector



5 layers, $\sim 15 \mu m$ hit resolution

150,000 channels



May 23, 2008

Quarks 2008

BABAR Drift Chamber



40 layers, ~35,000 wires



May 23, 2008

Quarks 2008



BABAR Detector



DIRC Detector (Quartz Bar) (excellent K π separation) Electromagnetic Calorimeter

(~6580 Cs I crystals)



May 23, 2008

Quarks 2008



PEP-II & BaBar : lots of B's





- 1. Fully reconstruct one B-meson which decays to CP eigenstate
- 2. Tag other B to determine its flavor
- 3. Proper time (Δt) is measured from decay-vertex difference (Δz)

B Decay time distribution



B decay time distributions shifted due to CP violation

Time dependent decay asymmetry appears as a shift in Δt (time difference) and hence the Δz (vertex position) distribution for events tagged as B^0 and those tagged as \overline{B}^0 \Rightarrow Indirect CP Violation

Direct CP violation would show up as difference in area under the 2 curves (and is time-independent)

Fully Reconstructed Event



Candidate Event:

$$B_{CP}^{0} \rightarrow \psi(2S) K_{S}^{0}, K_{S}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$$

$$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$$

The second B meson is **fully reconstructed:**



May 23, 2008

Quarks 2008

Δt Resolution & Mis-tag Dilution



May 23, 2008

Sin 2 β : B \rightarrow Charmonium + K systems



Sin 2\beta: Combined measurements Charmonium modes



May 23, 2008

(21.5° favoured at 87% CL, $\cos 2\beta > 0$ at 98% CL)

$\boldsymbol{SIN2}\beta_{\text{eff}}$ via Penguin Decay Modes

 $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s, b \rightarrow s\bar{u}u$









Consistent??



May 23, 2008

New physics can enter via virtual non-Standard Model particles in penguin loop or other loop diagrams. If new particle contributes to weak phase, we'll measure a different effective "sin2β"

Look at sin 2^β in other B decay modes:

$$\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \phi \mathbf{K}^{0}{}_{\mathrm{S}}, \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \eta' \mathbf{K}^{0}{}_{\mathrm{S}}, \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \mathbf{K}^{0}{}_{\mathrm{S}}$$

Quarks 2008



 $sin 2\beta$: charmonium modes 2.5 σ difference in tree and penguin modes from 2004 has diminished with more data.



May 23, 2008

Quarks 2008

Janis McKenna quasi 2-body

3 body Dalitz/

27

Measure angle α

Channels $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \pi \pi \quad \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \rho \rho \quad \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \rho \pi$

Technical Complications:

Tree and penguin amplitudes contribute with different phases.

Time dependent asymmetry would give $\sin 2\alpha$ <u>if</u> penguin amplitude were \overrightarrow{O} negligible (but it's not!) <u>i</u> Tree amplitude, color-suppressed tree amplitude & charmless penguin amplitude all contribute to the decay process.

Measure effective α_{eff} , then extract α using isospin analysis

Gronau & London: Phys.Rev.Lett.65:3381,1990.



 $\begin{cases} Fit \text{ for 2 quantities, } C \text{ and } S: \\ \hline \Gamma(B^0(t) \to f_{CP}) \\ \Gamma(\overline{B}^0(t) \to f_{CP}) \end{cases} \sim e^{-\Gamma t} (1 \mp C_f \cos \Delta m \Delta t \pm S_f \sin \Delta m \Delta t) \end{cases}$

Constraint on \alpha: $\alpha = 87.5^{\circ}_{-5.3^{\circ}}^{+6.2^{\circ}}$

(deg)

α

May 23, 2008

Quarks 2008

Janis McKenna

28

 α measured 2 ways: consistent

Charmless B $\rightarrow \pi$ + π - **CP Violation**



Direct CP Violation

B→K+π-

Direct CP Violation: doesn't involve oscillations/mixing Asymmetry in yields between a decay and its CP conjugate (different weak and strong phases)



Direct CPV in Charged & Neutral B \rightarrow K π



Unitarity angle γ

 γ is the most difficult of the three angles to measure directly at KEKB and PEP-II, (we don't produce B⁰_S)

Strategies:

- Time dependence in interference in b→u and b →c with mixing gives $sin(2\beta+\gamma)$
- time independent interference in b→u and b →c.
 - (Gronau, London, Wyler Atwood, Dunietz, Soni)

$$B^{0} \to D^{\bar{+}}\pi^{\pm} \qquad B^{0} \to D^{*\bar{+}}\pi^{\pm} \qquad B^{0} \to D^{\bar{+}}\rho^{\pm} \qquad B^{0} \to D^{*\bar{+}}\rho^{\pm}$$

Plots show this direct measurement in green area blue bars come from combined contraints in CKM fitter



Combine all Experimental Results



We've measured all 3 unitary triangle angles and 2 sides Fit includes constraints from B factories as well as other experiments: •K system •B-mixing rate •charmless B decays One solution (in red) in amazing agreement ! (CKM in indeed source of CP violation in SM) Amazing (but least interesting) ² result! All consistent: success of SM!

First Observation of Mixing in the charm sector

Mixing has long been predicted to occur in charm sector: very small rate.

Strategy: look for "right sign" and "wrong sign" D decays in the channel and "tag" them using slow pion in D* decay: $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi_s^+ D^0$ $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$

DD mixing has been observed at 3.9σ level at Babar, (>1M "right sign" and 4K "wrong sign" events) and at several other experiments: Belle, CDF.

Babar:
$$x'^2 = (-0.22 \pm 0.30(stat) \pm 0.21(syst)) \times 10^{-3}$$

 $y'^2 = (9.7 \pm 4.4(stat) \pm 3.1(syst)) \times 10^{-3}$

wrong sign D decays



No evidence for CP violation in charm sector: expected small in SM
Quarks 2008Janis McKenna34



15 year PEP-II/BaBar program is in final phase.

Many analyses will benefit from increased statistics of final Babar Runs.

Most CP and Unitarity Triangle parameters are **still** statistically limited!

LHC: will make progress on β and γ measurements (eventually), but won't make rapid progress on α . Babar and Belle will continue in this area for several years.

•We've measured all three unitarity triangle angles.

(α & γ are much more challenging than β)

•We're improving statistics and precision in CKM and SM parameters

•Along with CP violation physics, we're studying/measuring rare B decays, D mixing, charm.

-BaBar & Belle have collected more than a billion $B\overline{B}$ pairs - (not all analysed yet)!

-Now performing precision SM tests - (loop contributions in rare decays)

-Didn't have time to talk about: $B^+ B^0$ masses, lifetime measurements, semileptonic decays, V_{ub} , V_{cb} , spectroscopy, tau physics, new charmonium states, radiative penguins, & more.

Then SUPER B or KEK B

Hoping for Failure - a breakdown in the Standard Model -> new physics May 23, 2008 Failure - a breakdown in the Standard Model -> new physics Quarks 2008 Janis McKenna 35



Standard Model is amazingly self-consistent

Eyes are open for signs of new physics (rare decays, exotics) with increased statistics in final runs. Hints in penguin sector.

B Factories will continue to be prime place to look for New Physics.

There must be more CP violation in new physics (neutrino sector?) because phase in CKM can't accommodate BAU.

INTERESTING to confirm everything we know about Standard Model. EVEN MORE INTERESTING to find a place where it breaks down!

⇒Next 2 years: continue mining our data, look for new Physics!



- ♥We've been looking for inconsistencies in SM
- ♥ Measure angles and sides of UT all 3 for constraint
- Search for new physics

Direct CP violation Rare B decays

IPERB - 3rd generation B Factory

HOPING FOR FAILURE in Standard Model

May 23, 2008

Quarks 2008



Control Samples

Control samples: no asymmetry (charged final states)

