

Reduced model for superstrings on $AdS_3 \times S^3$

Maxim Grigoriev

Based on:

*M.G. and A.A. Tseytlin, arXiv:0711.0155, Nucl. Phys. B, (2008)
proceedings, Osaka 2008*

“Reduced model for superstrings on $AdS_n \times S^n$ ”, to appear

Motivations

- IIB superstrings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$; difficulties at the quantum level
- The analog (*Metsaev, Thorn, Tseytlin, 01*) of the flat space light-cone gauge breaks the 2d Lorentz invariance. This makes it hard to apply the standard methods of 2d integrable fields theories.
- Another approach: FR reduction (*Fadeev-Reshetikhin 86*) (known in the case of S^3 -sigma model) also breaks 2d Lorentz. Not clear how to generalize to other cosets.
- Remarkably, for rather general coset models there is an alternative – *Pohlmeyer reduction*. Although intermediate steps are not Lorentz invariant the resulting action is.
- Generalization to $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and $AdS_2 \times S^2$ (*M.G., A. Tseytlin, 07*)

- Known cases: superstrings on $AdS_n \times S^n$ for $n = 2, 3, 5$.
 $n = 5$ too complicated, $n = 2$ too simple but promising (gives $N = 2$ susy sine-Gordon model)
- Can be interesting to study $AdS_3 \times S^3$ as a kind of full-featured but still explicitly manageable example. However, the structure is slightly different and the procedure should be modified.

Pohlmeyer reduction

Pohlmeyer, 1976

S^2 -sigma model:

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha} \int d^2\sigma \partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m - \Lambda (X^m X^m - 1), \quad m = 1, 2, 3$$

Equations of motion:

$$\partial_+ \partial_- X^m + \Lambda X^m = 0, \quad \Lambda = \partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m, \quad X^m X^m = 1$$

Stress tensor:

$$T_{\pm\pm} = \partial_{\pm} X^m \partial_{\pm} X^m, \quad T_{+-} = 0$$

Stress tensor conservation

$$\partial_+ T_{--} = 0, \quad \partial_- T_{++} = 0$$

implies: $T_{++} = f(\sigma_+)$, $T_{--} = h(\sigma_-)$ so that using the appropriate conformal transformation one can achieve:

$$\partial_+ X^m \partial_+ X^m = \mu^2, \quad \partial_- X^m \partial_- X^m = \mu^2, \quad \mu = \text{const}.$$

We then have 3 unit vectors in 3-dimensional Euclidean space:

$$X^m, \quad X_+^m = \mu^{-1} \partial_+ X^m, \quad X_-^m = \mu^{-1} \partial_- X^m,$$

In fact X^m is orthogonal ($X^m \partial_{\pm} X^m = 0$) to both X_+^m and X_-^m and therefore is not independent. The only $SO(3)$ invariant quantity is then

$$\mu^2 \cos 2\varphi = \partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m.$$

The equations of motion imply $\partial_+ \partial_- \varphi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \sin 2\varphi = 0$ following from

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cos 2\varphi$$

– sine-Gordon model(SG).

Analogous consideration for $S^3 = SO(4)/SO(3)$ leads to

$$\tilde{L}_{CSG} = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \tan^2 \varphi \partial_+ \theta \partial_- \theta + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cos 2\varphi$$

– **Complex sine-Gordon model (CSG).**

Here φ, θ are the following $SO(4)$ -invariants:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^2 \cos 2\varphi &= \partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m \\ \mu^3 \sin^2 \varphi \partial_{\pm} \theta &= \mp \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{m n k l} X^m \partial_+ X^n \partial_- X^k \partial_{\pm}^2 X^l \end{aligned}$$

Instead of sigma model on S^n one can consider bosonic strings on $S^n \times \mathbb{R}^1$ and use the gauge $t = \mu\tau$ along with the conformal gauge.

The conditions

$$\partial_{\pm} X^m \partial_{\pm} X^m = \mu^2$$

are then the *Virasoro* constraints.

Comments:

- Virasoro constraints are solved by a special choice of variables related nonlocally to the original coordinates
- Although the reduction is not explicitly Lorentz invariant the resulting Lagrangian turns out to be 2d Lorentz invariant
- The reduced theory is formulated in terms of manifestly $SO(n)$ invariant variables “blind” to the original global symmetry
- The reduced theory is equivalent to the original theory as an integrable system: the respective Lax connections are gauge-equivalent
- PR may be thought of as a formulation in terms of physical d.o.f. – coset space analog of flat-space l.c. gauge (in the known l.c. gauges for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ the 2d Lorentz is broken)
- In general the reduced theory is **not** quantum-equivalent to the original one (e.g., conformal symmetry was assumed in the reduction procedure)

Pohlmeyer reduction of the F/G -coset models

For $SO(3)/SO(2)$ or $SO(4)/SO(3)$ it is not needed. But we need higher dimensions and better understanding.

F/G -coset sigma model:

Let $\mathfrak{f}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ – respective Lie algebras. The symmetric space condition (Z_2 -grading)

$$\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{g} , \quad [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g} , \quad [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p} , \quad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{g}$$

along with $\langle \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p} \rangle = 0$ (in our setting $\langle a, b \rangle = \text{Tr}(ab)$).

The Lagrangian:

$$L = -\text{Tr}(P_+ P_-) , \quad P_{\pm} = (f^{-1} \partial_{\pm} f)_{\mathfrak{p}} ,$$

where

$$J = f^{-1} df = \mathcal{A} + P , \quad \mathcal{A} = J_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{g} , \quad P = J_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p} .$$

G gauge transformation $f \rightarrow fg$; global F -symmetry: $f \rightarrow f_0 f$ for any constant $f_0 \in F$; conformal invariance.

First step: equations of motion in terms of currents

Pohlmeyer, Lund, Rehren, Regge, D'Auria, Sciutto, Eichenherr, Forger...

The fundamental variables are now $J = \mathcal{A} + P$. The full set of equations of motion involves now:

$$D_+ P_- = 0, \quad D_- P_+ = 0 \quad \text{-- Equations of motion}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(P_+ P_+) = \mu^2, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(P_- P_-) = \mu^2 \quad \text{-- Virasoro const.}$$

$$D_- P_+ - D_+ P_- + [P_+, P_-] + [\mathcal{A}_-, \mathcal{A}_+] = 0 \quad \text{-- Maurer-Cartan}$$

Here e.g. $D_+ P_- = \partial_+ P_- + [\mathcal{A}_+, P_-]$.

Main idea: – **first** solve EOMs and Virasoro using special choice of G gauge condition and special parametrization of currents

Then find reduced action giving eqs. resulting from MC

Special gauge where the first Virasoro constraint is **solved by**

$$P_+ = \mu T, \quad \mu = \text{const} \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$T = \text{const} \in \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{f} \ominus \mathfrak{g}, \quad \text{Tr}(TT) = -1$$

“polar decomposition” theorem.

Lie algebra decomposition

The choice of an element T determines the following decomposition ($\mathfrak{a} = \{T\}$)

$$\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \quad [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}] = 0,$$

such that

$$[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{h}, \quad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{m}, \quad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}] \subset \mathfrak{n}, \quad [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}] \subset \mathfrak{m}.$$

i.e. $\mathfrak{h}$ is a centraliser of T in $\mathfrak{g}$.

Well-known “triple” of Lie groups for F/G coset sigma model:

$$H \subset G \subset F$$

(Bakas et all, Miramontes et all)

New parametrization

Using the decomposition above the first EOM $D_- P_+ = 0$ is solved by $\mathcal{A}_- = A_- \in \mathfrak{h}$

The second Virasoro constraint is solved by

$$P_- = \mu g^{-1} T g$$

with g being a new G -valued field.

Finally, the EOM $D_+ P_- = 0$ is solved by

$$\mathcal{A}_+ = g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g, \quad \mathfrak{h}\text{-valued } A_+$$

.

To summarise:

we have solved all EOMs and Virasoro constraints. The new parametrisation is in terms of

G -valued field g , $\mathfrak{h}$ -valued fields A_+, A_- .

The only remaining equation is the Maurer-Cartan equation.

Relation to gauged WZW model

Maurer-Cartan equation in terms of new parametrization:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_- (g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g) - \partial_+ A_- \\ + [A_-, g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g] + \mu^2 [g^{-1} T g, T] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Recall:

$$\begin{aligned} P_+ &= \mu T, & P_- &= \mu g^{-1} T g, \\ \mathcal{A}_+ &= g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g, & \mathcal{A}_- &= A_- \end{aligned}$$

MC eq. has “on-shell” $H \times H$ gauge symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} g &\rightarrow h^{-1} g \bar{h}, \\ A_+ &\rightarrow h^{-1} A_+ h + h^{-1} \partial_+ h, & A_- &\rightarrow \bar{h}^{-1} A_- \bar{h} + \bar{h}^{-1} \partial_- \bar{h}, \end{aligned}$$

can choose a gauge:

$$A_+ = (g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g)_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad A_- = (g \partial_- g^{-1} + g A_- g^{-1})_{\mathfrak{h}}$$

G/H gWZW action with potential: (Bakas, Park, Shin 95)

$$\begin{aligned} L = & - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(g^{-1} \partial_+ g g^{-1} \partial_- g) + \text{WZ term} \\ & - \text{Tr}(A_+ \partial_- g g^{-1} - A_- g^{-1} \partial_+ g - g^{-1} A_+ g A_- + A_+ A_-) \\ & - \mu^2 \text{Tr}(T g^{-1} T g) \end{aligned}$$

Remains left-right H gauge symmetry: $h = \bar{h}$.

– Action and gauge symmetries of the Pohlmeyer-reduced theory for F/G coset sigma model . Also for strings on $R_t \times F/G$ or $F/G \times S^1_\psi$

integrable potential: relation at the level of Lax pairs

special case of non-abelian Toda theory:

“symmetric space Sine-Gordon model”

(Fernandez-Pousa, Gallas, Hollowood, Miramontes 96)

Structure of the action

The action of the reduced theory can be written as:

$$L = L_{gWZW} + L_{add}, \quad L_{add} = -\text{Tr}(P_+ P_-)$$

where

$$P_+ = P_+(g) = \mu T, \quad P_- = \mu g^{-1} T g$$

Note:

L_{add} – original Lagrangian of the F/G coset model
written in terms of new parametrization.

L_{gWZW} – the Lagrangian of the gauged WZW model
encoding the MC equation

Elimination of $A_{\pm}$

$A_{\pm}$ —auxiliary fields. What to do about A_+, A_- : integrate out or gauge-fix?

Gauge $A_{\pm} = 0$: reduced EOM's in the “on-shell” gauge:

On-shell $\partial_- A_+ - \partial_+ A_- + [A_-, A_+] = 0$ so can set $A_{\pm} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_- (g^{-1} \partial_+ g) - \mu^2 [T, g^{-1} T g] &= 0 , \\ (g^{-1} \partial_+ g)_{\mathfrak{h}} &= 0 , \quad (\partial_- g g^{-1})_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0 .\end{aligned}$$

$$F/G = SO(n+1)/SO(n) = S^n : \quad G/H = SO(n)/SO(n-1)$$

Parametrising g as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & \dots & k_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} , \quad \sum_{l=1}^n k_l k_l = 1$$

One gets (in general **non-Lagrangian**) EOM for k_m

$$\partial_- \frac{\partial_+ k_\ell}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_{m=2}^n k_m k_m}} = -\mu^2 k_\ell, \quad \ell = 2, \dots, n.$$

Linearising around the **vacuum** $g = 1$ (i.e. $k_1 = 1$, $k_\ell = 0$)

$$\partial_+ \partial_- k_\ell + \mu^2 k_\ell + O(k_\ell^2) = 0$$

Massive spectrum, $H = SO(n - 1)$ global symmetry

Integrating out $A_{\pm}$: gauge condition on g field

$F/G = SO(n+1)/SO(n) = S^n$: parametrization of g
in terms of Euler angles

$$g = e^{T_{n-1}\theta_{n-1}} \dots e^{T_2\theta_2} e^{2T\varphi} e^{T_2\theta_2} \dots e^{T_{n-1}\theta_{n-1}}$$

and integrating out $H = SO(n-1)$ gauge field $A_{\pm}$
leads to reduced theory that generalizes SG and CSG

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+\varphi\partial_-\varphi + G_{pq}(\varphi, \theta)\partial_+\theta^p\partial_-\theta^q + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cos 2\varphi$$

no B_{mn} coupling

similar for $F/G = SO(2, n-1)/SO(1, n-1) = AdS_n$ case:

$$G/H = SO(1, n-1)/SO(n-1)$$

For $n = 2, 3$: SG and CSG models

and their AdS counterparts.

For $n = 4, 5$ explicit G_{pq} were given in

(*Fradkin, Linetsky ; Bars, Sfetsos 91-92*)

Bosonic strings on $AdS_n \times S^n$

The Lagrangian and the Virasoro constraints:

$$L^{AS} = \text{Tr}(P_+^A P_-^A) - \text{Tr}(P_+^S P_-^S), \quad \text{Tr}(P_\pm^S P_\pm^S) - \text{Tr}(P_\pm^A P_\pm^A) = 0$$

Using the conformal transformation one can assume

$$-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(P_\pm^S P_\pm^S) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(P_\pm^A P_\pm^A) = \mu^2$$

The rest of the Pohlmeyer reduction goes in each sector independently giving the direct product of the reduced systems for S^n and AdS_n respectively.

Except for μ the AdS_n and S^n sectors do not see each other.

Example: in the case of $AdS_2 \times S^2$ one gets:

$$L^{AdS_2 \times S^2} = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi)$$

Note that for some n (e.g. $n = 2, 3, 5$) one can also use the representation by unitary matrices instead of orthogonal ones. For instance SG corresponds to $SU(2)/U(1)$

$AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring sigma-model

$$AdS_5 \times S^5 = \frac{SU(2,2)}{Sp(2,2)} \times \frac{SU(4)}{Sp(4)}$$

supercoset GS sigma model (*Metsaev, Tseytlin, 98*)

$$\frac{\widehat{F}}{G} = \frac{PSU(2, 2|4)}{Sp(2, 2) \times Sp(4)}$$

basic superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = psu(2, 2|4)$

bosonic part $\mathfrak{f} = su(2, 2) \oplus su(4) \cong so(2, 4) \oplus so(6)$

admits Z_4 -grading: (*Berkovits, Bershadsky, et al 89*)

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_0 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_1 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_2 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_3, \quad [\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_i, \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_j] \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{i+j \bmod 4}$$

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_0 = \mathfrak{g} = sp(2, 2) \oplus sp(4)$$

current ($J = f^{-1} \partial_a f$, $f \in \widehat{F}$) decomposes as

$$J_a = f^{-1} \partial_a f = \mathcal{A}_a + Q_{1a} + P_a + Q_{2a}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_0, \quad Q_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_1, \quad P \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_2, \quad Q_2 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_3.$$

GS Lagrangian:

$$L_{\text{GS}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{STr}(\sqrt{-g} g^{ab} P_a P_b + \varepsilon^{ab} Q_{1a} Q_{2b}),$$

Very simple structure – but not standard coset model:

fermionic currents in WZ term only

leads to κ -symmetry:

$$\delta_\kappa J_a = \partial_a \epsilon + [J_a, \epsilon], \quad (\delta_\kappa \sqrt{-g} g^{ab})^{ab} = \dots$$

$$\epsilon = \{P_{(+)a}, ik_{1(-)}^a\} + \{P_{(-)a}, ik_{2(+)}^a\}$$

Conformal gauge:

$$L_{\text{GS}} = \text{STr}[P_+ P_- + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{1+} Q_{2-} - Q_{1-} Q_{2+})]$$

$$\text{STr}(P_+ P_+) = 0, \quad \text{STr}(P_- P_-) = 0$$

Pohlmeyer reduction of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring

In terms of current $J = \mathcal{A} + P + Q_1 + Q_2$

EOM :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_+ P_- + [\mathcal{A}_+, P_-] + [Q_{2+}, Q_{2-}] &= 0, \\ \partial_- P_+ + [\mathcal{A}_-, P_+] + [Q_{1-}, Q_{1+}] &= 0, \\ [P_+, Q_{1-}] &= 0, \quad [P_-, Q_{2+}] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Virasoro :

$$\text{STr}(P_+ P_+) = 0, \quad \text{STr}(P_- P_-) = 0$$

MC :

$$\partial_- J_+ - \partial_+ J_- + [J_-, J_+] = 0.$$

PR procedure: solve first EOM and Virasoro

κ -gauge condition: $Q_{1-} = 0, \quad Q_{2+} = 0$

solves the last (fermionic) pair of EOM

As in the bosonic case the remaining EOM:

$$\partial_+ P_- + [\mathcal{A}_+, P_-] = 0, \quad \partial_- P_+ + [\mathcal{A}_-, P_+] = 0$$

are solved by fixing the “reduction gauge” and using the conformal symmetry. Namely one gets:

$$P_+ = \mu T, \quad T = \frac{i}{2} \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1 | 1, 1, -1, -1)$$

$$P_- = \mu g^{-1} T g, \quad \mathcal{A}_+ = g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g, \quad \mathcal{A}_- = A_-$$

T defines $\mathfrak{h}$ by $[\mathfrak{h}, T] = 0$:

$$\mathfrak{h} = su(2) \oplus su(2) \oplus su(2) \oplus su(2)$$

New parametrisation:

$$G = Sp(2, 2) \times Sp(4) - \text{valued field } g, \quad \mathfrak{h} - \text{valued field } A_{\pm}$$

In the new parametrization MC eqs. become:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_- (g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g) - \partial_+ A_- + [A_-, g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g] \\ = -\mu^2 [g^{-1} T g, T] + [Q_{1+}, Q_{2-}],\end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_- Q_{1+} + [A_-, Q_{1+}] = \mu [T, Q_{2-}],$$

$$\partial_+ Q_{2-} + [g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g, Q_{2-}] = \mu [g^{-1} T g, Q_{1+}]$$

AdS_5 and S^5 sectors now coupled by fermions

remains **residual κ -symmetry** to be fixed

use T to generalise decomposition of bosonic part

$\mathfrak{f} = T \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ to superalgebra $psu(2, 2|4)$

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\parallel} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\perp}, \quad [T, [T, \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\perp}]] = 0$$

define

$$\Psi_1 = Q_{1+}, \quad \Psi_2 = g Q_{2-} g^{-1}$$

$\Psi_1^{\perp}, \Psi_2^{\perp}$ can be set =0 by residual κ -symmetry

The remaining fermionic components

$$\Psi_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \Psi_1^\parallel, \quad \Psi_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \Psi_2^\parallel,$$

transform under $H \times H$ as $\Psi_R \rightarrow \bar{h}^{-1} \Psi_R \bar{h}$, $\Psi_L \rightarrow h^{-1} \Psi_L h$.

Equations of motion (MC equation) of reduced theory are thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_- (g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g) - \partial_+ A_- + [A_-, g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g] \\ = -\mu^2 [g^{-1} T g, T] - \mu [g^{-1} \Psi_L g, \Psi_R], \end{aligned}$$

$$[T, D_- \Psi_R] = -\mu (g^{-1} \Psi_L g)^\parallel, \quad [T, D_+ \Psi_L] = -\mu (g \Psi_R g^{-1})^\parallel.$$

Pohlmeyer reduced system at the level of EOMs

Lagrangian of PR theory for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring

(MG, Tseytlin 07; similar action: Mikhailov, Schafer-Nameki 07)

fermionic generalization of “gWZW+ potential” theory for

$$\frac{G}{H} = \frac{Sp(2,2)}{SU(2) \times SU(2)} \times \frac{Sp(4)}{SU(2) \times SU(2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L_{\text{gWZW}}(g, A_+, A_-) + \mu^2 \text{STr}(g^{-1} T g T) \\ &+ \text{STr}(\Psi_L [T, D_+ \Psi_L] + \Psi_R [T, D_- \Psi_R]) \\ &+ \mu \text{STr}(g^{-1} \Psi_L g \Psi_R) \end{aligned}$$

Direct sum of PR theories for AdS_5 and S^5 “glued together” by components of fermions

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \tilde{L}_{S^5}(g, A_+, A_-) + \tilde{L}_{AdS_5}(g, A_+, A_-) \\ &+ \psi_L D_+ \psi_L + \psi_R D_+ \psi_R + \mu (\text{interaction terms}) \end{aligned}$$

standard kin. terms for bosons and fermions (cf. GS action)

Comments:

- gWZW model coupled to the fermions interacting minimally and through the “Yukawa term”
- 8 real bosonic and 16 real fermionic independent variables
- 2d Lorentz invariant with Ψ_R, Ψ_L as 2d Majorana spinors
- 2d supersymmetry? yes, at the linearised level, and yes in $AdS_2 \times S^2$ case: $n = 2$ super sine-Gordon
- quadratic in fermions (like susy version of gWZW); integrating out $A_{\pm}$ gives quartic fermionic terms (reflecting curvature)
- linearisation of EOM in the gauge $A_{\pm} = 0$ around $g = 1$ describes 8+8 massive bosonic and fermionic d.o.f. with mass μ : same as in BMN limit. $H = [SU(2)]^4$ global symmetry

The structure of the potential:

Like in the bosonic case:

$$L = L_{WZW} + L_{add}, \quad L_{add} = \text{STr}(P_+ P_- + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{1+} Q_{2-} - Q_{1-} Q_{2+}))$$

where L_{WZW} – Lagrangian of gWZW with fermions and

$$P_+ = \mu T, \quad P_- = \mu g^{-1} T g, \quad Q_{1+} = \sqrt{\mu} \Psi_R, \quad Q_{2-} = \sqrt{\mu} g^{-1} \Psi_L g$$

and $Q_{1-} = Q_{2+} = 0$ due to the κ -gauge.

Path integral derivation via change from fields to currents?

Lorentz invariance:

Variables Ψ_R and Ψ_L originate from Lorentz vectors
(fermionic components of currents).

Consistently assigning the Lorentz transformation properties.

If Ψ_R, Ψ_L 2d Majorana spinors then L is Lorentz invariant.

(Contrary to the bosonic case where no change is needed)

Example: $AdS_2 \times S^2$

Explicit parametrisation:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$g = \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi & 0 & 0 \\ \phi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i\varphi \\ 0 & 0 & i\varphi & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \phi & \sinh \phi & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh \phi & \cosh \phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \varphi & i \sin \varphi \\ 0 & 0 & i \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

Fermions:

$$\Psi_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta & 0 \\ 0 & i\beta & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho \\ 0 & 0 & -i\nu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu & 0 & 0 \\ i\rho & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Lagrangian ($N = 2$ supersymmetric sine-Gordon):

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{tot} = & \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi) \\
& + \beta \partial_- \beta + \gamma \partial_- \gamma + \nu \partial_+ \nu + \rho \partial_+ \rho \\
& - 2\mu [\cosh \phi \cos \varphi (\beta \nu + \gamma \rho) + \sinh \phi \sin \varphi (\beta \rho - \gamma \nu)] .
\end{aligned}$$

In more conventional ($N = 2$ susy) terms can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{tot} = & \partial_+ \Phi \partial_- \Phi^* - |W'(\Phi)|^2 + \psi_L^* \partial_+ \psi_L + \psi_R^* \partial_- \psi_R \\
& + [W''(\Phi) \psi_L \psi_R + W^{*''}(\Phi^*) \psi_L^* \psi_R^*] .
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$W(\Phi) = \mu \cos \Phi , \quad |W'(\Phi)|^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cosh 2\phi - \cos 2\varphi) .$$

and

$$\psi_L = \nu + i\rho , \quad \psi_R = -\beta + i\gamma ,$$

Superstrings on $AdS_3 \times S^3$

Supercoset:

$$\frac{PSU(1,1|2) \times PSU(1,1|2)}{SU(1,1) \times SU(2)}$$

Rahmfeld, A. Rajaraman; Pesando; Park, Rey, Berkovits, Vafa, Witten; Metsaev, Tseytlin

Note: $AdS_3 \times S^3 \cong SU(1,1) \times SU(2)$

To apply the general scheme one needs to identify the Z_4 grading on

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathfrak{psu}(1,1|2) \oplus \mathfrak{psu}(1,1|2)$$

such that $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_0 = \mathfrak{su}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \subset \mathfrak{psu}(1,1|2) \oplus \mathfrak{psu}(1,1|2)$

Z_4 grading was discussed in the literature but we need its matrix form along with the compatible decomposition $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\parallel} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\perp}$.

Simple example

G - Principal Chiral Model (PCM). It can be identified with

$$\frac{G \times G}{\bar{G}}$$

coset sigma model with $\bar{G}$ being diagonal subgroup.

More generally: $\bar{G}$ – a subgroup formed by elements of the form $(g, \hat{\chi}(g))$; $\hat{\chi}$ – automorphism compatible with the trace.

The respective orthogonal decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{f} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = \{(a, \chi(a))\}, \quad \mathfrak{p} = \{(a, -\chi(a))\}.$$

The symmetric space conditions $[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}] \subset \bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad [\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}, \quad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ are satisfied.

Under some algebraic conditions (satisfied in the AdS_3 or S^3 case) one can apply Pohlmeyer reduction.

Pohlmeyer reduction of PCM

Using gauge symmetry and new parametrization:

$$P_+ = \mu T, \quad P_- = \mu \bar{g}^{-1} T \bar{g}, \quad T = (t, -\chi(t)), \quad \bar{g} = (g, \widehat{\chi}(g))$$

with t in Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

The resulting $\mathfrak{g}$ WZW model can be formulated entirely in terms of G -valued field g and $\mathfrak{h}$

$$L = L_{gWZW}[g, A_+, A_-] - \mu^2 \text{Tr}(g^{-1} t g t)$$

(does not depend on χ).

That is what happens in the bosonic sector.

Our aim now is to identify Z_4 grading on $psu(2, 2|4)$ such that in the bosonic part $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_0 = su(1, 1) \oplus su(2)$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_2$ its orthogonal complement in $su(1, 1) \oplus su(2) \oplus su(1, 1) \oplus su(2)$.

Z₄ grading

The automorphism inducing Z₄ grading:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & \delta & d \end{pmatrix}^{\Omega} = - \begin{pmatrix} c^t & -\delta^t & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma^t & d^t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^t & -\beta^t \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^t & b^t \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

$M^{\Omega} = i^k M$ for $M \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_k^{\mathbb{C}}$ so that

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_0^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_1^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_2^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_3^{\mathbb{C}}$$

The decomposition induces that of the real form $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ (elements satisfying $a^* = -a$). Note that in the bosonic part $\chi(a) = -a^t$.

Once Z₄ grading is identified the general reduction procedure is applicable. In the $AdS_3 \times S^3$ case we can explicitly eliminate $A_{\pm}$ fields and arrive at the Lagrangian for physical degrees of freedom only.

Reduced Lagrangian

Imposing the gauge on g and parametrizing g in terms of the Euler angles

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_A & 0 \\ 0 & g_S \end{pmatrix},$$

$$g_A = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\vartheta} \cosh \phi & \sinh \phi \\ \sinh \phi & e^{-i\vartheta} \cosh \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_S = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\ -\sin \varphi & e^{-i\theta} \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

One can solve for $A_{\pm} = A_{\pm}[\phi, \varphi, \theta, \vartheta, \text{fermions}]$.

The reduced Lagrangian has the following structure:

$$L = L_B + L_F$$

In more details:

$$L_B = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \cot^2 \varphi \partial_+ \theta \partial_- \theta + \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \coth^2 \phi \partial_+ \vartheta \partial_- \vartheta \\ + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi) .$$

i.e. the sum of CSG Lagrangian and its hyperpolic counterpart.

$$L_F = \alpha \partial_- \alpha + \beta \partial_- \beta + \gamma \partial_- \gamma + \delta \partial_- \delta + \lambda \partial_+ \lambda + \nu \partial_+ \nu + \rho \partial_+ \rho + \sigma \partial_+ \sigma \\ + \cot^2(\phi) (\partial_- \theta (\alpha \beta - \gamma \delta) - \partial_+ \theta (\lambda \nu - \rho \sigma)) \\ - \coth^2(\varphi) (\partial_- \vartheta (\alpha \beta - \gamma \delta) - \partial_+ \vartheta (\lambda \nu - \rho \sigma)) \\ 2(\alpha \beta - \gamma \delta)(\lambda \nu - \rho \sigma) \left(\frac{1}{\sin^2 \phi} + \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \phi} \right) \\ - 2\mu \left(\sinh \phi \sin \varphi (\lambda \beta - \nu \alpha + \rho \delta - \sigma \gamma) + \cosh \phi \cos \varphi \right. \\ \left. \times [\cos(\vartheta + \theta) (\sigma \alpha - \rho \beta + \lambda \delta - \nu \gamma) + \sin(\vartheta + \theta) (\rho \alpha + \sigma \beta - \lambda \gamma - \nu \delta)] \right)$$

- explicitly 2d Lorentz invariant, contains 4 real bosons and 4 real fermions.
- in the limit $\theta = \vartheta = 0$ and half of the fermions set to zero reproduces the $N = 2$ susy sine-Gordon model.
- L_B admits susy extension in both AdS_3 and S^3 sectors but this is different because the two sectors do not see each other in contrast to our case.

Open questions

- SUSY in $AdS_3 \times S^3$ case. If yes the same for $AdS_5 \times S^5$. There are indications but it seems nontrivial.
- Clarifying the relationship between the original and the reduced system. E.g. symmetries, vacua, values of conserved charges etc.
- Generalising the Pohlmeyer reduction to other models including flat space GS superstring, gWZW models, etc. Note that already $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring contains WZ term for fermions
- Integrate out the $A_{\pm}$ fields in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ case. This involves identification of a right vacua at the Lagrangian level and possibly asymmetrically gauged form of the gWZW model with fermions.

: