

Classical Scalar Field Potential in SM

Andrej Arbuzov

*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint
Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia*

in collaboration with L.A. Glinka and V.N. Pervushin

Talk at Quarks'08 Seminar, Sergiev Posad, Russia, 23-29 May, 2008.

- ▶ **Motivation**
- ▶ **Vector boson mass generation mechanism**
- ▶ **Conditions on the potential form and parameters**
- ▶ **Special choices of the potential**
- ▶ **Initial data mechanism of symmetry breaking**
- ▶ **Problems and outlook**

Motivation

- ▶ The Standard Model (SM) is a very successful physical theory. But for many reasons we suppose that is only an **effective** theory appropriate for a certain energy range

Motivation

- ▶ The Standard Model (SM) is a very successful physical theory. But for many reasons we suppose that is only an **effective** theory appropriate for a certain energy range
- ▶ Very soon at **LHC** we hope to access both the limit of SM applicability and the mechanism of EW symmetry breaking

Motivation

- ▶ The Standard Model (SM) is a very successful physical theory. But for many reasons we suppose that is only an **effective** theory appropriate for a certain energy range
- ▶ Very soon at **LHC** we hope to access both the limit of SM applicability and the mechanism of EW symmetry breaking
- ▶ The Higgs-Kibble mechanism gives masses for gauge bosons and certain problems for the theory: tachyon behavior, monopole solutions, non-zero imaginary part of the effective potential, large Higgs self-coupling, **naturalness** or fine tuning problem *etc.*

Motivation

- ▶ The Standard Model (SM) is a very successful physical theory. But for many reasons we suppose that is only an **effective** theory appropriate for a certain energy range
- ▶ Very soon at **LHC** we hope to access both the limit of SM applicability and the mechanism of EW symmetry breaking
- ▶ The Higgs-Kibble mechanism gives masses for gauge bosons and certain problems for the theory: tachyon behavior, monopole solutions, non-zero imaginary part of the effective potential, large Higgs self-coupling, **naturalness** or fine tuning problem *etc.*
- ▶ The SM Higgs potential looks to be an artificial product of the **correspondence principle** to the Landau-Ginzburg potential in the superconductivity theory

Motivation

- ▶ The Standard Model (SM) is a very successful physical theory. But for many reasons we suppose that is only an **effective** theory appropriate for a certain energy range
- ▶ Very soon at **LHC** we hope to access both the limit of SM applicability and the mechanism of EW symmetry breaking
- ▶ The Higgs-Kibble mechanism gives masses for gauge bosons and certain problems for the theory: tachyon behavior, monopole solutions, non-zero imaginary part of the effective potential, large Higgs self-coupling, **naturalness** or fine tuning problem *etc.*
- ▶ The SM Higgs potential looks to be an artificial product of the **correspondence principle** to the Landau-Ginzburg potential in the superconductivity theory
- ▶ Can we take a different form for the potential keeping the SM EW sector unchanged?

$U(1)$ case (I)

Let's consider interaction of a $U(1)$ abelian field A with a complex two-component scalar field Φ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \Phi^\dagger \partial^\mu \Phi - V(\Phi) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + ig (\Phi^\dagger \partial_\mu \Phi - (\partial_\mu \Phi^\dagger) \Phi) A^\mu + g^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi A_\mu A^\mu$$

The Lagrangian is $U(1)$ -invariant if

$$V(\Phi) \equiv V(|\Phi|) \quad \not\Leftarrow \quad V(\Phi) \equiv V(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)$$

In polar variables reflecting the gauge symmetry,

$$\Phi(x) \equiv \sigma(x) e^{i\theta(x)}, \quad \Phi^\dagger(x) \equiv \sigma(x) e^{-i\theta(x)},$$

the Lagrangian takes the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma - V(\Phi) + g^2 \sigma^2 (A_\mu + \frac{1}{g} \partial_\mu \theta) (A^\mu + \frac{1}{g} \partial^\mu \theta) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

$U(1)$ case (II)

To generate a mass term for the gauge boson we assume that there is a non-zero vacuum expectation value

$$\langle 0|\sigma|0\rangle \equiv \sigma_0 = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \neq 0 \quad \text{so that} \quad \sigma(x) = \frac{\eta + h(x)}{\sqrt{2}}$$

σ_0 is the zeroth harmonic of the radial degree of freedom of the scalar field:

$$\frac{1}{V_0} \int_{V_0} d^3x \sigma(x) = \sigma_0, \quad \frac{1}{V_0} \int_{V_0} d^3x h(x) = 0$$

Fixing the gauge of the vector field by

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow B_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{g} \partial_\mu \theta(x), \quad \text{we get}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu h - V(\sigma(x)) + \frac{1}{2} g^2 \eta^2 B_\mu B^\mu + g^2 \eta h B_\mu B^\mu \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g^2 h^2 B_\mu B^\mu - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

$U(1)$ case (III)

That is the Higgs-Kibble mechanism of gauge boson mass generation. **Note that**

$U(1)$ case (III)

That is the Higgs-Kibble mechanism of gauge boson mass generation. **Note that**

- ▶ there is no any mass-like term for $\theta(x)$ without any reference to the Goldstone theorem

$U(1)$ case (III)

That is the Higgs-Kibble mechanism of gauge boson mass generation. **Note that**

- ▶ there is no any mass-like term for $\theta(x)$ without any reference to the Goldstone theorem
- ▶ in polar variables gauge fixing can be avoided without any change in the phenomenology, see [1]=[N. Chernodub, L. Faddeev, A. Niemi, arXiv:0804.1544]

$U(1)$ case (III)

That is the Higgs-Kibble mechanism of gauge boson mass generation. **Note that**

- ▶ there is no any mass-like term for $\theta(x)$ without any reference to the Goldstone theorem
- ▶ in polar variables gauge fixing can be avoided without any change in the phenomenology, see [1]=[N. Chernodub, L. Faddeev, A. Niemi, arXiv:0804.1544]
- ▶ fermion masses in these variables are generated in the standard way with help of Yukawa couplings and $\eta \neq 0$

$U(1)$ case (III)

That is the Higgs-Kibble mechanism of gauge boson mass generation. **Note that**

- ▶ there is no any mass-like term for $\theta(x)$ without any reference to the Goldstone theorem
- ▶ in polar variables gauge fixing can be avoided without any change in the phenomenology, see [1]=[N. Chernodub, L. Faddeev, A. Niemi, arXiv:0804.1544]
- ▶ fermion masses in these variables are generated in the standard way with help of Yukawa couplings and $\eta \neq 0$
- ▶ $SU(2) \times U(1)$ case is evaluated in polar variables in the same manner, see e.g. [1]

$U(1)$ case (III)

That is the Higgs-Kibble mechanism of gauge boson mass generation. **Note that**

- ▶ there is no any mass-like term for $\theta(x)$ without any reference to the Goldstone theorem
- ▶ in polar variables gauge fixing can be avoided without any change in the phenomenology, see [1]=[N. Chernodub, L. Faddeev, A. Niemi, arXiv:0804.1544]
- ▶ fermion masses in these variables are generated in the standard way with help of Yukawa couplings and $\eta \neq 0$
- ▶ $SU(2) \times U(1)$ case is evaluated in polar variables in the same manner, see e.g. [1]
- ▶ the potential is not yet given explicitly, and certain additional conditions on it to be applied

Conditions on the potential

For the symmetry reason

$$V(\Phi) = V(|\Phi|) = V(\sigma) \quad (1)$$

Universe energy density

$$V(\sigma_0) + V_{\text{eff}}(\sigma_0) = 0 \longrightarrow V(\sigma_0) = 0, \quad V_{\text{eff}}(\sigma_0) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Minimum of the classical potential

$$\left. \frac{dV(\sigma)}{d\sigma} \right|_{\sigma=\sigma_0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{d^2V(\sigma)}{d\sigma^2} \right|_{\sigma=\sigma_0} \leq 0 \quad (3)$$

Renormalizability, stability, minimality etc.

$$V(\sigma) = c_0 + c_1\sigma + c_2\sigma^2 + c_3\sigma^3 + c_4\sigma^4, \quad c_4 \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

Potential types (I)

► The **standard** choice

$$V_{\text{std}}(\Phi) = \lambda \left(\sigma^2 - \frac{\eta^2}{2} \right)^2 = \lambda \eta^2 h^2 + \lambda \eta h^3 + \frac{\lambda}{4} h^4$$

certainly satisfies the listed conditions; one free parameter
 $\lambda > 0$, two local minima $\sigma = \pm \sigma_0$

Potential types (I)

- ▶ The **standard** choice

$$V_{\text{std}}(\Phi) = \lambda \left(\sigma^2 - \frac{\eta^2}{2} \right)^2 = \lambda \eta^2 h^2 + \lambda \eta h^3 + \frac{\lambda}{4} h^4$$

certainly satisfies the listed conditions; one free parameter $\lambda > 0$, two local minima $\sigma = \pm \sigma_0$

- ▶ Sub-class of **concave** potentials with a single global minimum

$$V_I(\sigma) = m_h^2 (\sigma - \sigma_0)^2 + \lambda (\sigma - \sigma_0)^4 = \frac{m_h^2}{2} h^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} h^4$$

with two free parameters m_h and $\lambda > 0$; no triple Higgs couplings at the tree level. EW sector, including interactions of Higgs with vector bosons and fermions is **exactly the same** as in SM

Potential types (II)

- Let's drop the Higgs self-interaction:

$$V_H(\sigma) = m_h^2(\sigma - \sigma_0)^2 = \frac{m_h^2}{2} h^2$$

one free parameter m_h . Only gauge and Yukawa interactions in the theory. Remind that Φ^4 theory to remain perturbative at all scales should be trivial, i.e. $\lambda = 0$

Potential types (II)

- ▶ Let's drop the Higgs self-interaction:

$$V_{II}(\sigma) = m_h^2(\sigma - \sigma_0)^2 = \frac{m_h^2}{2} h^2$$

one free parameter m_h . Only gauge and Yukawa interactions in the theory. Remind that Φ^4 theory to remain perturbative at all scales should be trivial, i.e. $\lambda = 0$

- ▶ Higgs mass term can be dropped as well

$$V_{III}(\sigma) = \lambda(\sigma - \sigma_0)^4 = \frac{\lambda}{4} h^4$$

and we start with a nice conformal symmetry. The observable Higgs mass should be provided by another mechanism

Potential types (II)

- ▶ Let's drop the Higgs self-interaction:

$$V_{II}(\sigma) = m_h^2(\sigma - \sigma_0)^2 = \frac{m_h^2}{2} h^2$$

one free parameter m_h . Only gauge and Yukawa interactions in the theory. Remind that Φ^4 theory to remain perturbative at all scales should be trivial, i.e. $\lambda = 0$

- ▶ Higgs mass term can be dropped as well

$$V_{III}(\sigma) = \lambda(\sigma - \sigma_0)^4 = \frac{\lambda}{4} h^4$$

and we start with a nice conformal symmetry. The observable Higgs mass should be provided by another mechanism

- ▶ Neither m_h nor λ is required to get the EW boson masses

$$V_{IV}(\sigma) \equiv 0$$

it is the **minimal** choice

Symmetry breaking by ID (I)

- Initial Data (ID) should be considered as an ingredient of a physical model. For a model with a local gauge symmetry ID is a must because of the second Nöther theorem.
- Physical vacuum comes to our theoretical constructions from aside as a kind of ID
- All scalar objects (Higgs, condensates etc.) in the theory can mix with vacuum. And can means do
- In order to construct a *physical* theory, i.e. the one describing *observables*, we have to extract all zeroth modes and put into the *physical* vacuum. This statement is nothing else but Einstein's cosmological principle (1917).

Symmetry breaking by ID (II)

Let's consider a part of a conformal symmetric Lagrangian describing scalar field σ and its interactions with fermions (s) and vector bosons (v)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} = \partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma - \sigma \sum_s f_s \bar{s} s + \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \sum_v g_v^2 V^2 - \lambda \sigma^4$$

Following Kirzhnits and Linde we can *try* to apply the cosmological principle and average our scalar components over a large enough volume V_0 :

$$\langle \sigma \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{V_0} \int d^3x \sigma, \quad \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{V_0} \int d^3x \sum_s f_s \bar{s} s, \quad \langle \mathbf{B} \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{V_0} \int d^3x \frac{1}{4} \sum_v g_v^2 V^2$$

Again we shift the scalar field $\sigma = \langle \sigma \rangle + h/\sqrt{2}$

Symmetry breaking by ID (III)

The Lagrangian takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} = \partial_0 \langle \sigma \rangle \partial_0 \langle \sigma \rangle - \mathbf{V}_0(\langle \sigma \rangle) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu h - h^2 \frac{m_h^2}{2} - h^3 \lambda \sqrt{2} \langle \sigma \rangle + \dots$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}_0(\langle \sigma \rangle) &= \langle \sigma \rangle \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle - \langle \sigma \rangle^2 \langle \mathbf{B} \rangle + \lambda \langle \sigma \rangle^4 \\ m_h^2 &= 6\lambda \langle \sigma \rangle^2 - \langle \mathbf{B} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $\mathbf{V}_0(\langle \sigma \rangle) = 0$ and $\partial \mathbf{V}_0(\langle \sigma \rangle) / \partial \langle \sigma \rangle = 0$ we get a set of linear equations for the condensates giving

$$m_h^2 = \langle \mathbf{B} \rangle = 3\lambda \langle \sigma \rangle^2, \quad \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle = 2\lambda \langle \sigma \rangle^3$$

Non-zero vacuum expectation values can be interpreted as non-trivial initial data.

Problems and Outlook

- ▶ Different classical potentials lead to (**not necessarily**) different quantum theories to be studied

Problems and Outlook

- ▶ Different classical potentials lead to (**not necessarily**) different quantum theories to be studied
- ▶ If the classical potential is not unique, we need a steering principle to choose one (conformal symmetry, minimality, whatever)

Problems and Outlook

- ▶ Different classical potentials lead to (**not necessarily**) different quantum theories to be studied
- ▶ If the classical potential is not unique, we need a steering principle to choose one (conformal symmetry, minimality, whatever)
- ▶ In any case, if Higgs gave masses to all other particles, who gave m_h ? In other words, is it possible to look for the origin of dimensional parameters within the SM?

Problems and Outlook

- ▶ Different classical potentials lead to (**not necessarily**) different quantum theories to be studied
- ▶ If the classical potential is not unique, we need a steering principle to choose one (conformal symmetry, minimality, whatever)
- ▶ In any case, if Higgs gave masses to all other particles, who gave m_h ? In other words, is it possible to look for the origin of dimensional parameters within the SM?
- ▶ Choosing one of the alternative classical potentials discussed above does not automatically solve difficulties of the SM