The integral form of APS boundary conditions in the Bag model

A. A. Abrikosov, jr.^a*, A. Wipf ^{b†}

^a Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics

B. Cheremushkinskaya 25, Moscow 117 218, Russia

^b Institute of Theoretical Physics, Friedrich-Schiller University

Max-Wien-Platz 1, 07743 Jena, Germany

Abstract

We propose the integral form of Atiah-Patodi-Singer spectral boundary conditions (SBC) and find explicitly the integral projector onto SBC for the 3-dimensional spherical cavity. After discussion of a simple example we suggest that the relation between the projector and fermion propagator is universal and stays valid independently of the bag form and space dimension.

Introduction

The two principal problems of QCD are confinement and spontaneous breaking of chiral invariance. Both of them take place in the strongly interacting domain where the theory becomes nonperturbative. Most probably the two are interrelated. However, usually they were considered separately. Up to now the spontaneous chiral invariance breaking (SCIB) was discussed mostly in the infinite space. It would be interesting to study specific features of SCIB that appear due to localization of quarks in finite volume. In order to do that one has to confine the quarks in a chiral invariant way.

A way to lock fermions in finite volume without spoiling the chiral symmetry is to impose the so-called **spectral boundary conditions** (SBC). They were first introduced by Atiah, Patodi and Singer (APS) who investigated anomalies on manifolds with boundaries [5]. Later these boundary conditions were widely applied in studies of index theorems on various manifolds [6].

Originally the APS boundary conditions were formulated for compact manifolds in arbitrary even dimension 2n. Such a manifold has a compact 2n-1, i. e. odd-dimensional boundary. However in physical applications one also meets odd-dimensional spatial bags evolving in Euclidean or Minkowsky time. Evolution converts the spatial boundary of such a bag into an infinite space-time cylinder. Recently it was shown [7] that in these problems instead of the full time-dependent boundary conditions on the cylinder one may use static SBC on the spatial boundary of the bag. Thus it turns out that the APS boundary conditions make sense both on odd (the classical case) and even dimensional surfaces (the modified SBC).

The spectral conditions are essentially nonlocal, *i. e.* they are defined on the boundary as a whole. According to the original "constructive" definition all functions are expanded in terms of eigenfunctions of Dirac operator on the boundary and certain harmonics are required to be zero. Thus the APS constraints are imposed not onto functions as they are but onto their harmonics. This ensures the Hermicity of Dirac operator and charge conservation in the bag.

*e-mail: persik@itep.ru

 $^{\dagger}\mathbf{e}$ -mail: wipf@tpi.uni-jena.de

However the SBC may also be written in the integral form. Summation over separate boundary harmonics gives an integral projection operator (we call it the SBC-projector). The APS conditions state that it must annihilate fermionic wave functions on the boundary.

In the current paper we study the modified SBC for the three-dimensional spherical bag. Its boundary is the Riemann sphere S^2 and the corresponding harmonics may be expressed via spherical spinors. In this case the summation may be carried out and the SBC projection operator may be found explicitly.

A remarkable feature of our result is that the SBC projection operator is immediately related to the fermionic Green function. We claim that this relation is general and holds for arbitrary non-spherical boundaries in any dimension.

The paper has the following structure. We shall review the spectral boundary conditions in Section 1. In Section 2 we shall discuss the APS conditions on the 3-dimensional sphere and calculate the SBC-projector. Then, in Section 3 we shall discuss the relation between the SBC-projector and fermion propagator for a simple model and in general case. These will be followed by summary and conclusions.

1 The spectral boundary conditions

1.1 Conventions

First of all we will introduce coordinates, Dirac matrices and gauge that allow to define the spectral boundary conditions. The APS boundary conditions in any even dimension are defined similarly. Therefore we limit ourselves to the 4-dimensional case. Generalization to higher dimensions is straightforward.

Let us consider massless fermions interacting with gauge field \hat{A} in some Euclidean domain B that may be either a closed 4-dimensional cavity B_4 or an infinite space-time cylinder $B_3 \otimes R$. We choose the curvilinear coordinates so that near the boundary ∂B the first coordinate ξ points along the outward normal while the three others, q^i , parametrize ∂B itself. The origin $\xi = 0$ lies on ∂B . Following the classics we shall assume that near the surface the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ depends only on q so that

$$ds^{2} = d\xi^{2} + g_{ik}(q) dq^{i} dq^{k}.$$
(1)

Moreover, we choose the gauge so that on the boundary the normal component $\hat{A}_{\xi} = 0$. It is crucial to fix the Dirac matrix γ^{ξ} . Let I be the 2×2 unity matrix. Then

$$\gamma^{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iI \\ -iI & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad \gamma^{q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{q} \\ \sigma^{q} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2)

Matrices σ^q are the ordinary Pauli σ -matrices. With these definitions the Dirac operator of massless fermions on the surface takes the form,

$$-i\nabla |_{\partial B_4} = -i\gamma^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{M} \\ \hat{M}^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I\partial_{\xi} - i\hat{\nabla} \\ -I\partial_{\xi} - i\hat{\nabla} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{\nabla} = \sigma^q \nabla_q$ is the convolution of covariant gradient along the boundary ∇_q with σ -matrices. Note that Hermitian conjugated operators \hat{M} and \hat{M}^{\dagger} differ only by the sign of $\partial_{\mathcal{E}}$ -derivative.

Further on we shall call the linear differential operator $-i\hat{\nabla}$ on the boundary the **boundary** operator.

$$\hat{B}_4 = -i\hat{\nabla} = -i\sigma^q \,\nabla_q. \tag{4}$$

It is Hermitian and includes tangential gauge field \hat{A}_q and the spin connection that arises from the curvature of ∂B_4 .

It is well known that since the massless Dirac operator anticommutes with γ^5 -matrix,

$$\left\{-i\nabla, \gamma^{5}\right\} = 0, \qquad \gamma^{5} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0\\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix},$$
 (5)

it preserves helicity of massless quarks. This property is called chiral invariance. In order to retain it in finite space one needs chirally invariant boundary conditions.

1.2 The APS boundary conditions

The classical 4-dimensional SBC 1.2.1

Atiah, Patodi and Singer investigated spectra of Dirac operator $-i\nabla$ on manifolds with boundaries. If we separate upper and lower (left and right) components of 4-spinors the corresponding spectral equation will take the form

$$-i\nabla \psi_{\Lambda} = -i\nabla \begin{pmatrix} u_{\Lambda} \\ v_{\Lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} u_{\Lambda} \\ v_{\Lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda \psi_{\Lambda}. \tag{6}$$

The next step in the construction of SBC is to Fourier-expand u and v near the boundary. Let 2-spinors $e_{\lambda}(q)$ be eigenfunctions of the boundary operator $-i\nabla$:

$$\hat{B}_4 e_{\lambda}(q) = -i\hat{\nabla} e_{\lambda}(q) = \lambda e_{\lambda}(q). \tag{7}$$

Note that the form of this equation and the eigenfunctions $e_{\lambda}(q)$ depend on gauge. It is here that the gauge condition $\hat{A}_{\xi}(0, q) = 0$ becomes important.

The operator $-i\hat{\nabla}$ is Hermitian so λ 's are real. The functions e_{λ} form an orthogonal basis on ∂B_4 . In principle $-i\hat{\nabla}$ may have zero-modes but the sphere and convex manifolds are not the case.

Due to assumption (1) in the vicinity of the boundary the normal coordinate ξ separates and 2-spinors u_{Λ} and v_{Λ} may be expanded in series:

$$u_{\Lambda}(\xi, q) = \sum_{\lambda} f_{\Lambda}^{\lambda}(\xi) e_{\lambda}(q), \quad f_{\Lambda}^{\lambda}(\xi) = \int_{\partial B_4} e_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(q) u_{\Lambda}(\xi, q) \sqrt{g} d^3 q; \tag{8a}$$

$$v_{\Lambda}(\xi, q) = \sum_{\lambda} g_{\Lambda}^{\lambda}(\xi) e_{\lambda}(q), \quad g_{\Lambda}^{\lambda}(\xi) = \int_{\partial B_4} e_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(q) v_{\Lambda}(\xi, q) \sqrt{g} d^3 q; \tag{8b}$$

where $g = \det ||g_{ik}||$ is the determinant of metric on the boundary.

The APS boundary conditions may be defined in two equivalent ways: either in terms of separate harmonics or via an integral operator.

• The traditional form of spectral boundary conditions states that on the boundary, i. e. at $\xi = 0$

$$f_{\Lambda}^{\lambda}\Big|_{\partial B_{\epsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda > 0;$$
 (9a)

$$f_{\Lambda}^{\lambda}\Big|_{\partial B_4} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda > 0;$$
 (9a)
 $g_{\Lambda}^{\lambda}\Big|_{\partial B_4} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda < 0.$ (9b)

• Another way is to introduce integral projectors \mathcal{P}_4^+ and \mathcal{P}_4^- onto boundary modes with positive and negative λ (4 means the 4-dimensional case):

$$\mathcal{P}_4^+(q, q') = \sum_{\lambda > 0} e_{\lambda}(q) e_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(q'); \qquad \mathcal{P}_4^-(q, q') = \sum_{\lambda < 0} e_{\lambda}(q) e_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(q'). \tag{10}$$

If we join two-dimensional projectors \mathcal{P}^+ and \mathcal{P}^- into the 4×4 integral operator \mathcal{P}_4 the SBC for 4-spinor ψ will look as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_4 \, \psi(q) = \int_{\partial B_4} \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{P}_4^+(q, \, q') & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{P}_4^-(q, \, q') \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} u(q') \\ v(q') \end{array} \right) \sqrt{g} \, d^3 q' = 0.$$
 (11)

The SBC-projector \mathcal{P}_4 commutes with matrix γ^5 , so this boundary condition by construction respects the chiral invariance.

$$\left[\mathcal{P}_4,\,\gamma^5\right] = 0. \tag{12}$$

Let us denote by \mathcal{I} the unity operator on the function space spanned by e_{λ} . Obviously, because of completeness,

$$\mathcal{P}_{4}^{+}(q, q') + \mathcal{P}_{4}^{-}(q, q') = \mathcal{I}(q, q') = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \delta(q - q')|_{\partial B_{4}}, \tag{13}$$

where the last expression is the δ -function on the bag surface ∂B_4 .

1.2.2 The truncated 3+1-dimensional SBC

Now let us turn to fermions confined in a 3-dimensional spatial bag B_3 that evolves in Euclidean time and sweeps the infinite space-time cylinder $B_3 \otimes R$. We will call the first three coordinates "space" and the fourth one "time". The boundary operator consists of spatial and temporal parts:

$$\hat{B}_4 = -i\hat{\nabla}_{\partial B_3 \otimes R} = -i\hat{\nabla}_{\partial B_3} - i\sigma^z \partial_4 = \hat{B}_3 - i\sigma^z \partial_4. \tag{14}$$

We will call the spatial part $\hat{B}_3 = -i\hat{\nabla}_{\partial B_3}$ the **truncated boundary operator**. Let its eigenfunctions be e_{λ}^{\pm} (there was no (\pm)-superscript in 4-dimensions):

$$-i\hat{\nabla}_{\partial B_3} e_{\lambda}^{\pm}(q) = \hat{B}_3 e_{\lambda}^{\pm}(q) = \pm \lambda e_{\lambda}^{\pm}(q), \qquad \lambda > 0.$$
 (15)

Wave functions on the space-time boundary $\partial B_3 \otimes R$ can be expanded in e_{λ}^{\pm} and longitudinal (temporal) plane waves:

$$u_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda > 0} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{ikt} \left[f_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda,k} e_{\lambda}^{+} + f_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda,k} e_{\lambda}^{-} \right]; \tag{16a}$$

$$v_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda > 0} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{ikt} \left[g_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda,k} e_{\lambda}^{+} + g_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda,k} e_{\lambda}^{-} \right]. \tag{16b}$$

The truncated operator $-i\hat{\nabla}_{\partial B_3}$ anticommutes with σ^z and because of that the temporal term in (14) mixes positive and negative spatial harmonics. This makes the full SBC: a) "futuredependent" and b) hard to handle.

However it was shown in [7] that in this case one may use the simpler truncated APS constraints. In terms of harmonics of the truncated boundary operator they look as follows:

$$f_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda,k}\Big|_{\partial B_3} = 0; \tag{17a}$$

$$f_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda,k}\Big|_{\partial B_3} = 0;$$

$$g_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda,k}\Big|_{\partial B_3} = 0.$$
(17a)

These conditions are purely spatial and do not depend on time. Thus they cause no problems with causality and may be applied both in Euclidean and Minkowski spaces.

Projectors onto positive and negative boundary harmonics in the (3+1)-case are defined in complete analogy with (10):

$$\mathcal{P}_{3+1}^{\pm}(q, \, q') = \sum_{\lambda > 0} e_{\lambda}^{\pm}(q) \, [e_{\lambda}^{\pm}(q')]^{\dagger}. \tag{18}$$

This allows to put the truncated SBC in the integral form as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_{3+1} \psi(q) = \int_{\partial B_4} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{3+1}^+(q, q') & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{P}_{3+1}^-(q, q') \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(q') \\ v(q') \end{pmatrix} \sqrt{g} \, d^2 q' = 0, \tag{19}$$

where the integration runs over the 2-dimensional spatial boundary.

The physics of SBC

It may be proved that SBC are chirally invariant, ensure Hermicity of the Dirac operator and fermion conservation in the bag. The interesting physical property of SBC is that fermionic wave functions may be continued out of the bag in a square integrable way.

Look how this may be shown. Let us write the eigenvalue equations for Dirac operator near the boundary (remember that ξ is the outward spatial normal). In 3+1-dimensional case harmonics corresponding to $\pm \lambda$ get mixed, therefore for each value of λ we get the set of four linked equations (instead of two independent pairs for k = 0 in 4d):

$$(\partial_{\xi} + \lambda) g_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda, k} = \Lambda f_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda, k} + ik g_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda, k};$$
 (20a)

$$-(\partial_{\xi} - \lambda) f_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda, k} = \Lambda g_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda, k} + ik f_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda, k} :$$

$$(20b)$$

$$(\partial_{\xi} - \lambda) g_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda, k} = \Lambda f_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda, k} - ik g_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda, k};$$

$$(20c)$$

$$(\partial_{\xi} - \lambda) g_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda, k} = \Lambda f_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda, k} - ik g_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda, k}; \tag{20c}$$

$$-(\partial_{\xi} + \lambda) f_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda, k} = \Lambda g_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda, k} - ik f_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda, k}. \tag{20d}$$

According to conditions (17) the RHS of equations (20a, 20d) vanish on the boundary. The behaviour of g^+ and f^- on the boundary is governed by homogeneous equations so that:

$$\frac{\partial_{\xi} f_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda, k}}{f_{\Lambda}^{-\lambda, k}} \bigg|_{\xi=0} = \frac{\partial_{\xi} g_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda, k}}{g_{\Lambda}^{+\lambda, k}} \bigg|_{\xi=0} = -\lambda < 0.$$
(21)

Thus the nonvanishing spinor components g^+ and f^- have negative logarithmic derivatives at the boundary. Therefore the eigenfunctions may be continued exponentially out of both the 4d-bag or the (3+1)d-world cylinder in a square integrable way. After the continuation the particles stay located mainly inside the bag. This proves that SBC is a quite natural physical requirement.

$\mathbf{2}$ The SBC-projector for sphere

In this section we are going to calculate explicitly the integral SBC-projector for the boundary shaped as an ordinary 3-dimensional Riemann sphere S^2 . First we will introduce the special "work" representation of spinors that greatly simplifies the task, then outline the actual computation and, finally, present the result in covariant form.

2.1 The transformation of Dirac operator

The form of Dirac operator, boundary operator and SBC-projector depend on choice of γ matrices. There exists a coordinate-dependent transformation of spinors that converts the eigenfunctions of the boundary operator on the sphere into conventional spherical spinors. We call this the **work** representation.

Let us start from standard Cartesian coordinates x_{μ} , $\mu = 1, \dots 4$. The corresponding γ matrices are:

$$\gamma_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_a \\ \sigma_a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iI \\ -iI & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_\mu \\ \sigma_\mu^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(22)

with $\sigma_{\mu} = (\sigma_a, iI), \ \sigma_{\mu}^{\dagger} = (\sigma_a, -iI)$. The conventional rotation generators for 4-spinors are (for spatial rotations it is convenient to use generators with one index).

$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2} \left[\gamma_{\mu}, \, \gamma_{\nu} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^{a} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} \, \Sigma_{bc}.$$
 (23)

The Cartesian Dirac operator is:

$$-i\nabla C_{art} = -i\gamma_{\mu}\partial_{\mu}.$$
 (24)

Transformation to the work representation consists of two independent $\frac{\pi}{2}$ -turns. The spatial turn about the radius simplifies the boundary operator but leaves untouched $\gamma_r = \gamma_a n_a$ matrix $(\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{r}}{r})$. The rotation in $(r x_4)$ -plane interchanges γ_4 and γ_r matrices so that γ_4 points along the radius as required by APS boundary conditions. The product of the two rotations is:

$$V_W = \exp\frac{i\pi}{4} \vec{\Sigma} \vec{n} \exp\frac{i\pi}{4} \Sigma_{a4} n_a = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+i\vec{\sigma}\vec{n}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1+i\vec{\sigma}\vec{n}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1-i\vec{\sigma}\vec{n}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1+i\vec{\sigma}\vec{n}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0\\ 0 & i\vec{\sigma}\vec{n} \end{pmatrix}; (25)$$

The result of the transformation on matrices γ_4 and γ_r is:

$$V_W^{\dagger} \vec{\gamma} \vec{n} V_W = \gamma_4; \qquad V_W^{\dagger} \gamma_4 V_W = -\vec{\gamma} \vec{n}; \tag{26}$$

After rotation to the work frame the Dirac operator takes the form:

$$-i\nabla W_W = -iV_W^{\dagger} \nabla V_{Cart} V_W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(\partial_r + \frac{1}{r}\right) + \frac{\hat{B}_W}{r} \\ -\left(\partial_r + \frac{1}{r}\right) + \frac{\hat{B}_W}{r} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + i \vec{\gamma} \vec{n} \,\partial_4, \tag{27}$$

But to the $\frac{1}{r}$ that accompanies ∂_r -derivative (it may be eliminated by redefining the wave function $\psi \to \psi/r$) this operator has exactly the required form (γ_4 is aligned with the radius). Therefore we may project solutions of the Dirac equation onto eigenfunctions of \hat{B}_W and impose on them the modified APS-boundary conditions.

2.2 The boundary operator

For convenience let us introduce (3+1) cylindrical coordinates:

$$x^{1} = r\sin\theta\cos\phi; \quad x^{2} = r\sin\theta\sin\phi; \quad x^{3} = r\cos\theta; \quad x^{4} = t. \tag{28}$$

After the spinor rotation (26) the boundary operator in (27) takes the following form:

$$\hat{B}_W = \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_{\phi} + 1 & -e^{-i\phi}(\partial_{\theta} - i\cot\theta\,\partial_{\phi}) \\ e^{i\phi}(\partial_{\theta} + i\cot\theta\,\partial_{\phi}) & i\partial_{\phi} + 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 + 2\hat{L}_W^a \hat{S}_W^a. \tag{29}$$

Thus eigenfunctions of the boundary operator are classified according to the value of scalar product $\hat{L}_W^a \hat{S}_W^a$. Operator \hat{S}_W^a looks like nonrelativistic spin,

$$\hat{S}_W^a = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^a,\tag{30}$$

and \hat{L}_W is the 3-dimensional angular momentum in spherical coordinates (in Cartesian frame it would be $\hat{L}_W^a = \hat{L}^a = -i\epsilon^{abc}x_b\partial_c$).

Note, that despite the apparent resemblance $\tilde{\vec{S}}_W$ is not the physical spin. Rotation (26) affects only the lower (right) components of 4-spinors and makes the actual spin operators for left and right fields look differently¹.

However, one still may profit from the formal similarity of S_W to physical spin. Let us introduce a fictitious operator of "total angular momentum" $\hat{J}_W = \hat{L}_W + \hat{S}_W$,. Then the boundary operator (29) may be written as

$$\hat{B}_W = 1 + 2\hat{L}_W^a \hat{S}_W^a = 1 + \hat{J}_W^2 - \hat{L}_W^2 - \hat{S}_W^2.$$
(32)

$$\vec{\Sigma}_W = V_W^{\dagger} \, \vec{\Sigma} \, V_W = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\vec{n} (\vec{\sigma} \, \vec{n}) - \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (31)

¹The true 4d spin operator in Cartesian frame is $\frac{1}{2}\vec{\Sigma}$. After the rotation to work representation it becomes:

Obviously its eigenfunctions are conventional spherical spinors $\Omega_{j,l,m}$ and the corresponding eigenvalues are (see eqn. (15)),

$$\lambda = j(j+1) - l(l+1) + \frac{1}{4} = \begin{cases} l+1, & \text{for} \quad j = l + \frac{1}{2}; \\ -l, & \text{for} \quad j = l - \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$
 (33)

Explicit expressions for spherical spinors will be given in the next section.

2.3 Calculation of the projector

Now we are going to the calculate the 3-dimensional projectors $\mathcal{P}_{3+1}^{\pm}(q, q')$ defined by formulae (18). The eigenfunctions of boundary operator \hat{B}_W are the standard spherical spinors. In terms of the conventional spherical harmonics $Y_{l,m}$ they look as follows:

$$e_{l+1}^{+} = \Omega_{l+\frac{1}{2},l,k} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{j+k}{2j}} Y_{l,k-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{j-k}{2j}} Y_{l,k+\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad e_{l}^{-} = \Omega_{l-\frac{1}{2},l,k} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{j-k+1}{2j+2}} Y_{l,k-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{j+k+1}{2j+2}} Y_{l,k+\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

Substituting them into equations (18) we get for projectors in work representation (here $m = k - \frac{1}{2}$ and $c_{lm} = \sqrt{(l-m)(l+m+1)}$):

$$\mathcal{P}_{W}^{+}(\hat{x},\,\hat{y}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l-1}^{l} \frac{1}{2l+1} \times \left(\frac{(l+m+1)\,Y_{l,\,m}(\hat{x})\,Y_{l,\,m}^{*}(\hat{y})}{c_{lm}\,Y_{l,\,m}(\hat{x})\,Y_{l,\,m}^{*}(\hat{y})} \frac{c_{lm}\,Y_{l,\,m}(\hat{x})\,Y_{l,\,m+1}^{*}(\hat{y})}{(l-m)\,Y_{l,\,m+1}(\hat{x})\,Y_{l,\,m+1}^{*}(\hat{y})} \right); \qquad (35a)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{W}^{-}(\hat{x},\,\hat{y}) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l-1} \frac{1}{2l+1} \times \left(\frac{(l-m)\,Y_{l,\,m}(\hat{x})\,Y_{l,\,m}^{*}(\hat{y})}{-c_{lm}\,Y_{l,\,m+1}(\hat{x})\,Y_{l,\,m}^{*}(\hat{y})} \frac{-c_{lm}\,Y_{l,\,m}(\hat{x})\,Y_{l,\,m+1}^{*}(\hat{y})}{(l+m+1)\,Y_{l,\,m+1}(\hat{x})\,Y_{l,\,m+1}^{*}(\hat{y})} \right). \qquad (35b)$$

The summation is a purely technical problem. At the end we get for the full 4-component projector (19):

$$\mathcal{P}_W(\hat{x}, \, \hat{y})|_{\hat{x}, \, \hat{y} \in S^2} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{S^2}(\hat{x} - \hat{y}) - i \, \gamma^4 \, \mathcal{S}_W(\hat{x}, \, \hat{y}), \tag{36}$$

where S_W is the propagator of massless fermions in work representation.

After rotating γ -matrices back to Weyl representation (22) and substituting the fermion propagator \mathcal{S} we get the explicit form of APS boundary condition for sphere of radius R:

$$\mathcal{P} \psi(\vec{x})|_{|x|=R} = \oint_{S^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \delta_{S^2} (\vec{x} - \vec{y}) + \frac{\not h_x (\vec{x} - \vec{y})}{4\pi |\vec{x} - \vec{y}|^3} \right] \psi(\vec{y}) d^2 y =$$

$$\oint_{S^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \delta_{S^2} (\vec{x} - \vec{y}) + \frac{(R^2 - \vec{x}\vec{y})}{4\pi R |\vec{x} - \vec{y}|^3} \right] \psi(\vec{y}) d^2 y = 0$$
(37)

This completes the calculation in 3-dimensional case.

Now we are going to illustrate the mechanism that causes the appearance of fermionic Green function by an example.

3 The two-mode model

Here we will demonstrate how the relation between SBC-projector and fermion propagator arises at the level of a separated boundary harmonic. This may be done by considering the simple model with almost trivial boundary operator.

Let us consider two-dimensional massless fermions living in half-plane $\xi < 0$. Suppose that the boundary operator has a single eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$ (actually this means that we consider an individual mode of the boundary operator). Then the "Dirac operator" $\hat{\Lambda}$ is:

$$\hat{\Lambda} = -i\gamma^{\xi}\partial_{\xi} + \hat{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_{\xi} \\ -\partial_{\xi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (38)

The Dirac equation $\Lambda \psi = 0$ has two solutions:

$$\psi(\xi) = c^- \psi^-(\xi) + c^+ \psi^+(\xi) = c^- \exp{-\lambda \xi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + c^+ \exp{\lambda \xi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (39)

Projectors onto positive and negative modes and unity operator are simple 2×2 matrices:

$$P^{+} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad P^{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad I = P^{+} + P^{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{40}$$

The APS boundary condition in this case kills the positive mode that grows at $+\infty$ and has the form

$$P \psi(\xi) = P^+ \psi(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \psi(\xi) = 0.$$
 (41)

Now let us turn to the Green function of operator (38). It is easy to check that

$$\hat{\Lambda}^{-1} = S(\xi, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta(\eta - \xi) e^{\lambda(\xi - \eta)} \\ \theta(\xi - \eta) e^{\lambda(\eta - \xi)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad \hat{\Lambda} S(\xi, \eta) = I \delta(\xi - \eta). \tag{42}$$

In order to establish connection between the fermion propagator and SBC-projector we put both points ξ , η onto the boundary, $\xi = \eta = 0$, as it was done in (36) and multiply S by $-i\gamma^{\xi}$:

$$-i\gamma^{\xi}S(\xi,\,\eta)\Big|_{\xi=\eta=0} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta(0) & 0\\ 0 & -\theta(0) \end{pmatrix} = \theta(0)(P^{+} - P^{-}) = \frac{P^{+} - P^{-}}{2}.$$
 (43)

Here we used the symmetric regularization and set $\theta(0) = \frac{1}{2}$. Comparison with (40) demonstrates that projector onto APS boundary conditions (41) may be expressed as

$$P^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \left(I - i \gamma^{\xi} S(\xi, \eta) \Big|_{\xi = \eta = 0} \right), \tag{44}$$

that is exactly the one-dimensional version of Eq. (36).

We see that the result for the model is similar to that obtained by exact calculation in more realistic case. Actually the situation is quite general and the relation between SBC-projector and fermionic Green function stays valid regardless of dimensionality of space, shape of the boundary and spinor representation. Indeed, the only simplification of our example is that the boundary operator had a sole eigenvalue. Although real spectra of boundary operators are much richer our analysis remains true for every single isolated eigenfunction. Being valid for each of spectral harmonics it must stay true for the functions as a whole.

4 Conclusion

Here is the brief summary of results. We proposed the integral form of SBC for static evendimensional bags and truncated SBC for odd-dimensional bags evolving in time. Then we performed the explicit calculation of SBC-projector for the 3-dimensional sphere. The projector has a simple and compact expression in terms of the massless fermion propagator. The analysis of a simple two-mode model of APS boundary conditions led to the similar relation between the projector and propagator.

The covariant form of our result may be obtained by transforming (36) to Weyl representation. Then the projector onto APS boundary conditions becomes:

$$\mathcal{P}(\hat{x},\,\hat{y}) = V_W \,\mathcal{P}_W(\hat{x},\,\hat{y}) \,V_W^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\partial B}(\hat{x} - \hat{y}) - i \,(\hat{\gamma}\,\hat{n}_x) \,\mathcal{S}(\hat{x},\,\hat{y}), \tag{45}$$

where vectors \hat{x} , $\hat{y} \in \partial B$ and \hat{n}_x is the outward normal to the boundary at point \hat{x} . Here S is the conventional propagator of massless fermions. This expression does not depend on parametrization of γ -matrices and choice of coordinates.

The relation between SBC-projector and massless fermion propagator (45) is covariant and does not depend on representation of spinors. Therefore the integral formulation of spectral boundary conditions looks more practical than the original "constructive" definition that required the special choice of coordinates γ -matrices etc. Moreover, the result (45) appears to be universal and suitable for boundaries of arbitrary shape in any dimension. It is not a problem to prove this in general starting from the two-mode example of Section 3.

In conclusion the speaker (AA) would like to express his gratitude for the DAAD sponsorship that made possible the present collaboration. The participation in the Seminar was supported by the Organizers. The work was partially supported by RFBR grants 05–02–17464 and 06–02–16905.

References

- [1] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, C. B. Thorn, and V. F. Weisskopf, Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 3471.
- [2] S. Duerr, A. Wipf, Nucl. Phys. **B443** (1995) 201.
- [3] G. Esposito, K. Kirsten, Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002) 085014.
- [4] S. Théberge, A. W. Thomas, G. A. Miller, Phys. Rev. **D22** (1980) 2838; Phys. Rev. **D23** (1981) 2106(E).
- [5] M. F. Atiah, V. K. Patodi, I. M. Singer, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 77 (1975) 43.
- [6] T. Eguchi, P. B. Gilkey, A. J. Hanson, Phys. Rep. C 66 (1980) 213.
- [7] A. A. Abrikosov jr., Journ. of Phys. **A39** (2006) 6109, hep-th/0512311.